

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97489
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, purification, identification and pathogenicity of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary caused Sclerotinia rot of chickpea
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to be in concise form</p> <p>The study conducted is in primitive type and lots of information incorporated is not required in the MS Yes</p> <p>Latest references are lacking. The study conducted is quite old. Latest information needs to be included. Actually MS is nothing but carrying and proving of Koch's postulate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Ms should be comprehensive and include the latest information. The Sclerotinia stem rot of chick-pea has been reported in India during 1963. It is part of thesis where Koch postulate was done before conducting the expt.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>May be accepted after needful corrections as per your mandate. Since it is nothing than Koch postulate. Other data may be incorporated before publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naresh Kumar Mehta
Department, University & Country	CCS Haryana Agricultural University, India