

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102628
Title of the Manuscript:	Canola yield and water productivity as affected by irrigation, tillage and nitrogen levels in northwest India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Several research articles have studied the impact of irrigation, tillage, and nitrogen levels on canola yield and water productivity. The results suggest that irrigation, nitrogen levels, and soil water content can significantly affect canola yield and water productivity. Properly managing these factors can help improve canola production and water use efficiency.</p> <p>The effects of irrigation, tillage, and nitrogen levels on Canola yield and water productivity in Northwest India to some extent.</p> <p>They should be set according to the guidelines of journal authors.</p> <p>It is suitable.</p> <p>References should be corrected and standardized according to the authors' guide.</p> <p>The article should be reviewed and rewritten in terms of writing.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not. The manuscript needs literary, grammatical, and scientific editing (Poor English). It should be seriously revised.</p> <p>Correctness: very weak, Clarity: A bit unclear. Engagement: A bit Bland</p> <p>Refer to the attached file.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sayed Mohammad Reza Khoshroo
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran