

“Bioefficacy of certain chemicals and biopesticides against pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)”

ABSTRACT:-

The research work was undertaken at Central Research Farm (CRF) Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj during *rabi* season in 2022-23 consists of eight treatments including control *viz*, T₁- NSKE 5% @ , T₂- Neem Oil 5%, T₃-*Bacillus thuringiensis*@ 5mg/ml, T₄-*Beauveria bassiana*@ 1×10¹⁰ conidia/ml, T₅-Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, T₆ – Spinosad 45 SC, T₇- Emamectin benzoate 5% SG and T₀- untreated control in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The mean larval population of chickpea pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* after two spraying revealed that Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @1 gm/lit was found the most efficient among all treatments with larval population of (2.32 larvae/5 plants), highest cost benefit ratio (1:3.87) and marketable yield (29.16q/ha), followed by Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.5 ml/lit with a larval population of (2.60), cost benefit ratio and yield (1:3.27 and 26.66 q/ha), Profenofos 40%+Cypermethrin 4% EC @ 3 ml/lit with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield (2.77, 1:3.42 and 25.83 q/ha), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield (3.01, 1:2.93 and 22.5 q/ha), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10¹⁰ conidia/ml with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield(3.24, 1:2.83 and 21.25 q/ha), Neem oil 5% with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield(3.49, 1:2.25), NSKE 5% with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield(3.77, 1:1.75 and 13.33 q/ha). NSKE 5% is least effective among the treatments and control plot T₀ with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield (5.84, 1:1.54 and 11 q/ha).

Keywords: Biopesticides, chemicals, chickpea, efficacy, *Helicoverpa armigera*. Cost benefit ratio.

INTRODUCTION:-

Gram (*Cicer arietinum*), commonly known as 'chickpea' or chana, is a very important pulse crop in the Leguminosae family. Chickpea is the world's third most important legume crop produced by India, Turkey, Pakistan, Iran, Mexico, Australia, Ethiopia, Myanmar, and Canada with an average annual production of about 9 million tons with 95 % cultivation and consumption occurring in developing countries (Wubneh *et al.*, 2016). Currently, chickpea is grown on about 11 million hectares worldwide with 65 % belonging to India and 8 % to Pakistan. In addition to its importance in human food and animal feed, chickpea plays an important role in improving soil fertility by fixing the atmospheric nitrogen. It can fix up to 140kg N per ha from air and meet most of its nitrogen requirement (Wubneh *et al.*, 2016).

India is the largest chickpea producer as well as consumer in the world with 7.37 million hectares of 5.89 million tons and productivity of 799 kg/ha. The chickpea crop area covered mainly in Madhya Pradesh (32.97%), Maharashtra (18.36%), Rajasthan (16.70%), Andhra Pradesh (8.55%), Karnataka (8.21%), Uttar Pradesh (6.85%) and Gujarat (2.92%). In Karnataka, the crop is grown in an area of 6.05 lakh hectares with a productivity of 937 kg/ha. (Prasanna *et al.*, 2020). The Desi type chickpea contribute to around 80% and the Kabuli type around 20% of the total production. India is the largest producer of this pulse contributing to around 70% of the world's total production. Desi type chickpeas largely dominate the ratio of production in India.

Nevertheless chickpea is attacked by several pests, mainly insects. Sarwar, (2012) recorded 57 insect species, namely Lepidoptera as *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), commonly known as cotton bollworm or American bollworm, is a major noctuid pest in Asia, causing heavy damage to agricultural, horticultural and ornamental crops (Talekar *et al.*, 2006).

In India, the extent of losses due to *H. armigera* in chickpea is up to 25%. The crops have been noticed to suffer an avoidable loss of 9 to 60 % by this insect. In Uttar Pradesh alone 15.3 % of the chickpea crop worth Rs. 462.5 million is lost annually due to *H. armigera* attack, 17.2 % in Karnataka and 28.5 % in Delhi reported that the yield losses of chickpea grain due to *H. armigera* were 75-90 % and in some places the losses were up to 100 %. (Singh *et al.*, 2015).

The Chemicals and Biopesticides used for spraying are NSKE 5% , Neem Oil 5%, *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} , Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, Spinosad 45 SC, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG.

The aim of the study was to record and check the efficacy of different chemicals and biopesticides against gram pd borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) on chickpea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS :-

Study area:

The study was conducted at the experimental research plot of the Department of Entomology, Central Research Farm (lat. 25°27' N; long. 80°50' E; alt. 98m) in Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, during the *Rabi* season of 2022-23. The climate is typically semi arid and sub tropical. The maximum temperature reaches up to 47°C in summer and drops down to 2.5°C in winter.

Experiment:

The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design (RBD) with eight treatments (including control), each with three replications. The plot size taken was 2m² (2m×1m) The crops of chickpea were used for sowing. by maintaining 30 cm inter-row and 10 cm intra-row distance with the seed rate of 60 kg/ha. The spray solution was applied with the help of a hand compression sprayer. Spraying was done at dawn and dusk time and there must not be much wind currents.

Treatments

The Chemicals and Biopesticides used for spraying are T₁- NSKE 5% , T₂- Neem Oil 5%, T₃-*Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml, T₄-*Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10¹⁰, T₅-Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, T₆ – Spinosad 45 SC, T₇- Emamectin benzoate 5% SG and T₀- untreated control. The insecticidal spray solution of desired concentration as per treatments was freshly prepared every time at the site of experiment just before the start of spraying operations. The quantity of spray materials required for crop was gradually increased as the crop advanced in age.

In each plot the numbers of larva was counted on 5 randomly selected plants in each plot. The pre-treatment count was made a day before the first spray and second spray whereas, the post- treatment counts were made on 3rd, 7th and 14th day after each spray. The larval population over control against gram pod borer (*H. armigera*) was calculated by considering the mean of three observations recorded at 3rd, 7th, and 14th day after first and second spray.

The cost benefit ratio of each treatment was assessed based on net returns. Net return of each treatment was worked out by deducting total cost of the treatment from gross returns. Total cost of production included both cultivation as well as plant protection charges.

Gross return = Marketable Yield x Market price

Net return = Gross return – Total cost

Net returns

Benefit Cost Ratio =----- ×100

Total cost

RESULTS:

Result showed that three days after spraying all the treatments were significantly superior over control after first spray. The most effective treatment in reducing number of larval population of gram pod borer was Emamectin benzoate 5SG (2.77 larvae/5 plants) followed by Spinosad 45SC (2.97 larvae/5 plants), Profenofos40%+Cypermethrin4% (3.10 larvae/5 plants), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml (3.33 larvae/5 plants), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (3.53 larvae/5 plants), Neem oil 5% (3.79 larvae/5 plants), Neem seed kernal extract 5% (4.08 larvae/5 plants). NSKE 5% was least effective treatment.

Larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera* on three days after spraying revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control after second spray. The most effective treatment for controlling the larval population of gram pod borer was Emamectin benzoate 5SG (1.88 larvae/5 plants) which was followed by Spinosad 45SC (2.24 larvae/5 plants), Profenofos40%+Cypermethrin4% (2.44 larvae/5 plants), *Bacillus thuringiensis*@5mg/ml (2.70 larvae/5 plants), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (2.95 larvae/5 plants), Neem oil 5% (3.20 larvae/5 plants), Neem seed kernal extract5% (3.46 larvae/5 plants) is found to be least effective among all the treatments. Maximum number of larvae population was recorded in untreated control (6.37).

The larval population of gram pod borer on Chickpea after first and second spray revealed that all the insecticidal treatments were significantly superior over control. The most effective treatment for controlling larval population of pod borer was Emamectin benzoate 5SG (2.32 larvae/5 plants) followed by Spinosad 45 SC (2.60 larvae/5 plants), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4%(2.77 larvae/5 plants), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml (3.01 larvae/5 plants), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (3.24 larvae/5 plants), Neem oil 5% (3.49 larvae/5 plants), Neem seed kernal extract5% (3.77 larvae/5 plants) was found to be least effective among all the treatments. Maximum number of larvae of *H. armigera* was recorded in control (5.84).

The cost benefit ratio worked out, interesting result was achieved. The best and most economical treatment found was Emamectin benzoate 5% with a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.87), followed by Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC (1:3.42), Spinosad45 (1:3.27), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1:2.93), *Beauveria bassiana* (1:2.83), Neem oil 5% (1:2.25) and NSKE 5% (1:1.75) was found minimum cost benefit ratio among the treatments over untreated control. Control plot T₀ cost benefit ratio was (1:1.54).

Table no -1 Effect of selected chemicals and biopesticides on the larval population of pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea after first and second spray.

Treatments		Number of larval population/ 5 plants (No.)										Yield (q/ha)	C:B Ratio	
		1 st spray					2 nd spray							
		One day before spray	3 rd DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean	One day before Spray	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean			Over all mean (1 and 2spray)
T0	Control	5.00	5.20 ^a	5.33 ^a	5.40 ^a	5.310 ^a	5.40 ^a	5.80 ^a	6.73 ^a	6.60 ^a	6.37 ^a	5.84	11.00	1:1.54
T1	Neem seed kernal extract 5% @ 50ml/lit	5.20	4.26 ^b	3.86 ^b	4.13 ^b	4.083 ^b	4.13 ^b	3.86 ^b	3.06 ^b	3.46 ^b	3.46 ^b	3.77	13.33	1:1.75
T2	Neem oil 5% @ 50ml/lit	5.20	4.13 ^b	3.60 ^c	3.66 ^c	3.797 ^c	3.66 ^c	3.60 ^c	2.80 ^c	3.20 ^c	3.20 ^{bc}	3.49	17.08	1:2.25
T3	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 5 mg/ml @ 2gm/lit	5.33	3.53 ^d	3.13 ^e	3.33 ^{de}	3.330 ^d	3.33 ^{de}	3.20 ^e	2.26 ^e	2.66 ^e	2.70 ^{cde}	3.01	22.5	1:2.93
T4	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @1×10 ¹⁰ conidia/ml @ 2gm/lit	5.13	3.80 ^c	3.33 ^d	3.46 ^{cd}	3.530 ^d	3.46 ^{cd}	3.40 ^d	2.53 ^d	2.93 ^d	2.95 ^{bcd}	3.24	21.25	1:2.83
T5	Profenofos40%+Cyp ermethrin4% EC @ 3ml/lit	5.33	3.33 ^{de}	2.86 ^f	3.13 ^{ef}	3.107 ^e	3.13 ^{ef}	2.80 ^f	2.06 ^{ef}	2.46 ^{ef}	2.44 ^{def}	2.77	25.83	1:3.42
T6	Spinosad 45% SC @ 0.5ml/lit	5.33	3.20 ^{ef}	2.73 ^g	3.00 ^{fg}	2.977 ^{ef}	3.00 ^{fg}	2.60 ^g	1.86 ^f	2.26 ^f	2.24 ^{ef}	2.60	26.66	1:3.27
T7	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 1gm/lit	5.46	3.00 ^f	2.53 ^h	2.80 ^g	2.777 ^f	2.80 ^g	2.40 ^h	1.40 ^g	1.86 ^g	1.88 ^f	2.32	29.16	1:3.87
Overall Mean		5.24	3.40	3.42	3.61	3.61	3.61	3.45	2.83	3.17	3.15	3.38		
F- test		NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
S. Ed. (±)		0.13	0.45	0.488	0.10	0.392	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.3	0.44		
C. D. (P = 0.05)		-	0.216	0.111	0.224	0.216	0.224	0.177	0.255	0.22	0.569	1.04		

DISCUSSION

All the insecticides were found very effective and significantly superior over untreated control. Among all seven treatments minimum larval number of gram pod borer was found using Emamectin benzoate 5% as the similar findings reported by **Yadav *et al.* (2017)**, **Rani *et al.* (2018)**, **Abbas *et al.* (2021)**, **Bhamare *et al.* (2020)** and **Kambrekar *et al.* (2012)** who reported that Emamectin benzoate 5% SG was the most effective treatment to control *Helicoverpa armigera* larval population. The biopesticide Spinosad 45 SC was found to be effective treatment similar finding of **Lavanya and Kumar (2022)**, **Rashid *et al.* (2012)**, **Gayathri and kumar (2021)**, **Kumar *et al.* (2014)** reported that Spinosad 45 SC was effective treatment for reducing larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera*. as well Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC was found to be effective in reduction of the number of larva as found by **Jadhav *et al.*(2021)** who reported that Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC was effective against *Helicoverpa armigera*.

When the cost benefit ratio worked out, the higher cost benefit ratio was obtained from Emamectin benzoate (1:3.87) as the similar findings was done by **Shah *et al.* (2013)**, **Bharti *et al.* (2015)**, and **Kambrekar *et al.*(2012)** , followed by the Profenofos 40%+Cypermethrin 4% EC exhibited a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.42) as found by **Jadhav *et al.* (2021)** followed by Spinosad 45 SC with a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.27) similar findings of **Nitish *et al.* (2015)**, **Keval *et al.* (2016)** **Choudhary *et al.*(2017)** and **Chandra *et al.* (2017)**.

CONCLUSION:

From the findings present investigated holds a good promise in the gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) management and it showed that Emamectin benzoate 5 SG is most effective out of seven treatments. It also gave the highest cost benefit ratio and marketable yield followed by Spinosad 45 SC, Profenofos40%+Cypermethrin4%, *Bacillus thuringiensis*@ 5mg/ml, *Beauveria bassiana*@ 1×10^{10} , Neem oil 5%, NSKE 5%. NSKE 5% is least effective among the treatments. These products also helps in reducing pollution in the environments. Hence it can be suitably incorporated as treatments in IPM programme.

REFERENCES

- **Abbas, A., Wang, Y., Muhammad, U. and Fatima, A. (2021).** Efficacy of different insecticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) and their safety to the beneficial fauna. *International Journal Bioscience*, 18, 82-88.
- **Bharti, P., Singh, S.P.N. and Kumar, N., (2015).** Efficacy of some insecticides, bio-products and their phytotonic effect against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.) in chickpea. *Current Biotica* 9, 247–255.
- **Chandra, G. V., Kumar, A., Lavanya, V. and Sayad, R. (2017).** Efficacy of Certain Chemicals and Neem Products against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.).
- **Choudhary, R., Kumar, A., Jat, G. C., Vikramand Deshwal, H. L. (2017).** Comparative Efficacy of Certain Bio-Pesticides against Tomato Fruit Borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*(Hub.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 6(8): 1068-1081.
- **Gayathri, L. and Kumar, A. (2021).** Field efficacy of certain insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chick pea in Prayagraj. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 9(3):280-283.
- **Jadhav, K. U., Chavan, A. P., More, S. A., Kulkarni, S. R. and Karande, R. A. (2021).** To study the efficacy of molecule combinations against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 9(6): 164-170
- **Kambrekar, D. N., Somanagouda, G., Basavarajappa, M. P. and Halagalimath, S. P. (2012).** Effect of different dosages of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG and Indoxacarb 14.5 SC on pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* infesting chickpea. *Legume Research-An International Journal*, 35(1):13-17.
- **Keval, R., Yadav, A., Srivastava, C. P. and Kumar, R. (2016).** Evaluation of certain newer insecticides against pod fly (*Melanagromyza obtusa*) and pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) on long duration pigeonpea. *Research on Crops*, 17(1):129-133.
- **Kumar, A., Miashra, M. and Prakash, S. (2012).** Biology of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato in Tarai Region of Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Experimental Zoology, India*. 1 6(1): 101-104.
- **Lavanya, V., and Kumar, A. (2022).** Efficacy of certain chemicals against gram pod borer

[*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2022; **11**(3): 1293-1297.

- **Nithish, A., Joshi, B., Jayaram, C. S. and Kariyanna, B. (2015).** Bio-efficacy of Some Newer Insecticides against Gram Pod Borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) in Pigeonpea under Field Conditions. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **6**(3):563-566.
- **Prasanna, P. M., Badiger, B. and Shivamurthy, D. (2020).** Bio-efficacy of insecticide, Cyclaniliprole 100 DC against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). Infesting chickpea. *IJCS*, **8**(4):3070-3073.
- **Rani, D. S., Kumar, S. P., Venkatesh, M. N., Sri, C. H. N. S. and Kumar, K. A. (2018).** Bio efficacy of insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in Redgram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(2):3173-3176.
- **Rashid, A., Saeed, H. A., Akhtar, L. H., Siddiqi, S. Z. and Arshad, M. (2003).** Comparative efficacy of various insecticides to control gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) on chickpea. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*
- **Sarwar, M. (2012).** Competency of natural and synthetic chemicals in controlling gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea crop. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. **2**(4): 132-135.
- **Shah, J. A., Inayatullah, M., Sohail, K., Shah, S. F., Shah, S., Iqbal, T. and Usman, M.(2013).** Efficacy of botanical extracts and a chemical pesticide against tomato fruit worm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. **29**(1).
- **Singh, P., Singh, R., Kumar, S., Kumar, V. and Kumar, S. (2015).** Bioefficacy of certain new insecticides against larval population of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)in chickpea. *The Ecoscan*, **7**, 315-318.
- **Talekar, N. S., Opena, R. T., Hanson, P. (2006).** *Helicoverpa armigera* management: a review of AVRDC's research on host plant resistance in tomato. *Crop Protection*. **5**: 461-467.
- **Wubneh, W. Y. (2016).** Biological control of chickpea pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): A global concern. *world scientific news*, **45**(2):92-110.
- **Yadav, R. K., Chandra, U., Veer, R., Raj, A., Gautam, C. P. N., Kumar, S. and Singh, G. (2019).** Relative efficacy of newer insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa*

armigera. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(4):599-601.