

“Bioefficacy of certain chemicals and biopesticides against pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)”

ABSTRACT:-

The research work was undertaken at Central Research Farm (CRF) Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj during *rabi* season in 2023 consists of eight treatments including control *viz*, T₁- NSKE 5% @ , T₂- Neem Oil 5%, T₃-*Bacillus thuringiensis*@ 5mg/ml, T₄-*Beauveria bassiana*@ 1×10¹⁰ conidia/ml, T₅- Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, T₆ – Spinosad 45 SC, T₇- Emamectin benzoate 5% SG and T₀- untreated control in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The mean larval population of chickpea pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* after two spraying revealed that the treatment T₇ Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @1 gm/lit was found superior among all treatments with larval population of (2.32), highest cost benefit ratio (1:3.87) and marketable yield (29.16q/ha), followed by Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.5 ml/lit with a larval population of (2.60), cost benefit ratio and yield (1:3.27 and 26.66 q/ha), Profenofos 40%+Cypermethrin 4% EC @ 3 ml/lit with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield (2.77, 1:3.42 and 25.83 q/ha), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield (3.01, 1:2.93 and 22.5 q/ha), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10¹⁰ conidia/ml with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield(3.24, 1:2.83 and 21.25 q/ha), Neem oil 5% with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield(3.49, 1:2.25), NSKE 5% with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield(3.77, 1:1.75 and 13.33 q/ha). NSKE 5% is least effective among the treatments and control plot T₀ with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield (5.84, 1:1.54 and 11 q/ha).

Keywords: Biopesticides, chemicals, chickpea, efficacy, *Helicoverpa armigera*. Cost benefit ratio.

INTRODUCTION:-

Gram, commonly known as 'chickpea' or chana, is a very important pulse crop that grows as a seed of a plant named *Cicer arietinum* in the Leguminosae family. India is the largest chickpea producer as well as consumer in the world. Chickpea is the world's third most important legume crop. However, the most important chickpea producing countries are India, Turkey, Pakistan, Iran, Mexico, Australia, Ethiopia, Myanmar, and Canada. Chickpea is currently grown on about 11 million hectares worldwide with 65 and 8 per cent share belonging to India and Pakistan, respectively. Average annual production of chickpea is about 9 million tons with 95 percent of chickpea cultivation and consumption occurring in developing countries.

The Desi type chickpea contribute to around 80% and the Kabuli type around 20% of the total production. India is the largest producer of this pulse contributing to around 70% of the world's total production. Desi type chickpeas largely dominate the ratio of production in India. The per cent chickpea crop area covered in major states India is Madhya Pradesh (32.97%), Maharashtra (18.36%), Rajasthan (16.70%), Andhra Pradesh (8.55%), Karnataka (8.21%), Uttar Pradesh (6.85%) and Gujarat (2.92%). In India, the area under chickpea was 7.37 million hectares with a production of 5.89 million tons with productivity of 799 kg/ha. In Karnataka, the crop is grown in an area of 6.05 lakh hectares with a productivity of 937 kg/ha. (**Prasanna *et al.*, 2020**).

In addition to its importance in human food and animal feed, chickpea plays an important role in improving soil fertility by fixing the atmospheric nitrogen. It can fix up to 140kg N per ha from air and meet most of its nitrogen requirement (**Wubneh *et al.*, 2016**).

Chickpea is attacked by 57 insect species, but *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is the most important pest of chickpea (**Sarwar, 2012**). *H. armigera*, commonly known as cotton bollworm or American bollworm, is a major noctuid pest in Asia, causing heavy damage to agricultural, horticultural and ornamental crops (**Talekar *et al.*, 2006**).

In India, the extent of losses due to *H. armigera* in chickpea is up to 27.9 per cent in North west Plain Zone, 13.2 per cent in North East Plain Zone, 24.3 per cent in Central Zone and 36.4 per cent in South Zone. The crops have been noticed to suffer an avoidable loss of 9 to 60per cent by this insect. In Uttar Pradesh alone 15.3 per cent of the chickpea crop worth Rs. 462.5 million is lost annually due to *H. armigera* attack, 17.2 per cent in Karnataka and 28.5 per cent in Delhi. reported that the yield losses of chickpea grain due to *H. armigera* were 75-90 per cent and in some places the losses were up to 100 per cent. (**Singh *et al.*, 2015**).

MATERIALS AND METHODS :-

The experiment was conducted at the experimental research plot of the Department of Entomology, Central Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, during the *Rabi* season of 2022-23. Research field is situated at 25°27 North Latitude 80°50 East Longitudes and at an Altitude of 98 meter above sea level. The climate is typically semi arid and sub tropical. The maximum temperature reaches up to 47°C in summer and drops down to 2.5°C in winter.

The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design (RBD) with eight treatments (including control), each with three replications. The plot size taken was $2\text{m} \times 1\text{m} = 2\text{m}^2$. The crops of chickpea were used for sowing, by maintaining 30 cm inter-row and 10 cm intra-row distance with the seed rate of 60 kg/ha. The spray solution was applied with the help of a hand compression sprayer. Spraying was done at dawn and dusk time and there must not be much wind currents.

The Chemicals and Biopesticides used for spraying are T₁- NSKE 5% , T₂- Neem Oil 5%, T₃-*Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml, T₄-*Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} , T₅-Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC, T₆ – Spinosad 45 SC, T₇- Emamectin benzoate 5% SG and T₀- untreated control.

The numbers of larva were counted on 5 randomly selected plants in each plot. The pre-treatment count was made a day before the first spray and second spray whereas, the post-treatment counts were made on 3rd, 7th and 14th day after each spray. The larval population over control against gram pod borer was calculated by considering the mean of three observations recorded at 3rd, 7th, and 14th day after first and second spray.

The insecticidal spray solution of desired concentration as per treatments was freshly prepared every time at the site of experiment just before the start of spraying operations. The quantity of spray materials required for crop was gradually increased as the crop advanced in age.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

The data of table no. 1 showed that three days after spraying revealed that all the treatment were significantly superior over control after first spray. Among the treatments most effective treatment in reducing number of larval population of gram pod borer was found Emamectin benzoate 5SG (2.77 larva/5 plants) followed by Spinosad 45SC (2.97), Profenofos40%+Cypermethrin4% (3.10), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml (3.33), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (3.53), Neem oil 5% (3.79), Neem seed kernal extract 5% (4.08) were significantly superior over control (5.31). NSKE 5% was least effective treatment.

The data of table no. 1 on larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera* on three days after spraying revealed that all the treatment were significantly superior over control after second spray. Among all the treatments most effective treatment for controlling the larval population of gram pod borer was found Emamectin benzoate 5SG (1.88 larvae/5 plants) which was followed by Spinosad 45SC (2.24), Profenofos40%+Cypermethrin4% (2.44), *Bacillus thuringiensis*@5mg/ml (2.70), *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (2.95), Neem oil 5% (3.20), Neem seed kernal extract5% (3.46) is found to be least effective among all the treatments. Maximum number of larvae population was recorded in untreated control (6.37).

The larval population of gram pod borer on Chickpea after first and second spray revealed that all the insecticidal treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatment most effective treatment for controlling larval population of pod borer was found T₇ Emamectin benzoate 5SG (2.32 larvae/5 plants) followed by T₆ Spinosad 45 SC (2.60), T₅ Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4%(2.77), T₃ *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml (3.01), T₄ *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (3.24), T₂ Neem oil 5% (3.49), T₁ Neem seed kernal extract5% (3.77) is found to be least effective among all the treatments. Maximum number of larvae of *H. armigera* was recorded in control (5.84).

The cost benefit ratio worked out, interesting result was achieved, among the treatment studied, shown in table no 1, the best and most economical treatment found was T₇ Emamectin benzoate 5% with a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.87), followed by T₅ Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC (1:3.42), T₆ Spinosad45 (1:3.27), T₃ *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1:2.93), T₄ *Beauveria bassiana* (1:2.83), T₂ Neem oil 5% (1:2.25) a n d T₁ NSKE 5% (1:1.75) was found minimum cost benefit ratio among the treatments over untreated control. Control plot T₀ cost benefit ratio was (1:1.54).

Table no -1 Effect of selected chemicals and biopesticides on the larval population of pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea after first and second spray.

Treatments		Number of larval population/ 5 plants (No.)										Yield (q/ha)	C:B Ratio	
		1 st spray					2 nd spray							
		One day before spray	3 rd DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean	One day before Spray	3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean			Over all mean (1 and 2spray)
T ₀	Control	5.00	5.20 ^a	5.33 ^a	5.40 ^a	5.310 ^a	5.40 ^a	5.80 ^a	6.73 ^a	6.60 ^a	6.37 ^a	5.84	11.00	1:1.54
T ₁	Neem seed kernal extract 5% @ 50ml/lit	5.20	4.26 ^b	3.86 ^b	4.13 ^b	4.083 ^b	4.13 ^b	3.86 ^b	3.06 ^b	3.46 ^b	3.46 ^b	3.77	13.33	1:1.75
T ₂	Neem oil 5% @ 50ml/lit	5.20	4.13 ^b	3.60 ^c	3.66 ^c	3.797 ^c	3.66 ^c	3.60 ^c	2.80 ^c	3.20 ^c	3.20 ^{bc}	3.49	17.08	1:2.25
T ₃	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 5 mg/ml @ 2gm/lit	5.33	3.53 ^d	3.13 ^e	3.33 ^{de}	3.330 ^d	3.33 ^{de}	3.20 ^e	2.26 ^e	2.66 ^e	2.70 ^{cde}	3.01	22.5	1:2.93
T ₄	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @1×10 ¹⁰ conidia/ml @ 2gm/lit	5.13	3.80 ^c	3.33 ^d	3.46 ^{cd}	3.530 ^d	3.46 ^{cd}	3.40 ^d	2.53 ^d	2.93 ^d	2.95 ^{bcd}	3.24	21.25	1:2.83
T ₅	Profenofos40%+Cyp ermethrin4% EC @ 3ml/lit	5.33	3.33 ^{de}	2.86 ^f	3.13 ^{ef}	3.107 ^e	3.13 ^{ef}	2.80 ^f	2.06 ^{ef}	2.46 ^{ef}	2.44 ^{def}	2.77	25.83	1:3.42
T ₆	Spinosad 45% SC @ 0.5ml/lit	5.33	3.20 ^{ef}	2.73 ^g	3.00 ^{fg}	2.977 ^{ef}	3.00 ^{fg}	2.60 ^g	1.86 ^f	2.26 ^f	2.24 ^{ef}	2.60	26.66	1:3.27
T ₇	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 1gm/lit	5.46	3.00 ^f	2.53 ^h	2.80 ^g	2.777 ^f	2.80 ^g	2.40 ^h	1.40 ^g	1.86 ^g	1.88 ^f	2.32	29.16	1:3.87
Overall Mean		5.24	3.40	3.42	3.61	3.61	3.61	3.45	2.83	3.17	3.15	3.38		
F- test		NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
S. Ed. (±)		0.13	0.45	0.488	0.10	0.392	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.3	0.44		
C. D. (P = 0.05)		-	0.216	0.111	0.224	0.216	0.224	0.177	0.255	0.22	0.569	1.04		

The data on mean population after first and second spray revealed that all the insecticides were found very effective and significantly superior over untreated control. Among all seven treatments minimum Larval number of gram pod borer was found in T₇ Emamectin benzoate 5% (2.32) as the similar findings was reported by **Yadav *et al.* (2017)**, **Rani *et al.* (2018)** **Abbas *et al.* (2021)**, **Bhamare *et al.* (2020)** and **Kambrekar *et al.* (2012)** who reported that Emamectin benzoate 5% SG was the most effective treatment to control *Helicoverpa armigera* larval population. T₆ Spinosad 45 SC was found the next effective treatment with larval number (2.60) similar finding was reported by **Lavanya and Kumar (2022)**, **Rashid *et al.* (2012)**, **Gayathri and kumar (2021)**, **Kumar *et al.* (2014)** who reported that Spinosad was found to be the next best treatment for reducing the larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera*. T₅ Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC was found the next best effective treatments with the larval number (2.77) which was similarly found by **Jadhav *et al.*(2021)** who reported Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC to be the next best and effective treatment in controlling larval population.

When the cost benefit ratio worked out, interesting result was achieved. Among all the treatments the higher cost benefit ratio was obtained from T₇ Emamectin benzoate (1:3.87) as the similar findings was done by **Shah *et al.* (2013)**, **Bharti *et al.* (2015)**, and **Kambrekar *et al.*(2012)** , followed by the T₅ Profenofos 40%+Cypermethrin 4% EC exhibited a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.42) as the similar finding was done by **Jadhav *et el.* (2021)** followed by T₆ Spinosad 45 SC with a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.27) as the similar finding was done by **Nitish *et al.* (2015)**, **Keval *et al.* (2016)** **Choudhary *et al.*(2017)** and **Chandra *et al.* (2017)**

REFERENCES

- **Abbas, A., Wang, Y., Muhammad, U. and Fatima, A. (2021).** Efficacy of different insecticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) and their safety to the beneficial fauna. *International Journal Bioscience*, 18, 82-88.
- **Bharti, P., Singh, S.P.N. and Kumar, N., (2015).** Efficacy of some insecticides, bio-products and their phytotonic effect against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.) in chickpea. *Current Biotica* 9, 247–255.
- **Chandra, G. V., Kumar, A., Lavanya, V. and Sayad, R. (2017).** Efficacy of Certain Chemicals and Neem Products against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.).
- **Choudhary, R., Kumar, A., Jat, G. C., Vikramand Deshwal, H. L. (2017).** Comparative Efficacy of Certain Bio-Pesticides against Tomato Fruit Borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*(Hub.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 6(8): 1068-1081.
- **Gayathri, L. and Kumar, A. (2021).** Field efficacy of certain insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chick pea in Prayagraj. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 9(3):280-283.
- **Jadhav, K. U., Chavan, A. P., More, S. A., Kulkarni, S. R. and Karande, R. A. (2021).** To study the efficacy of molecule combinations against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 9(6): 164-170
- **Kambrekar, D. N., Somanagouda, G., Basavarajappa, M. P. and Halagalimath, S. P. (2012).** Effect of different dosages of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG and Indoxacarb 14.5 SC on pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* infesting chickpea. *Legume Research-An International Journal*, 35(1):13-17.
- **Keval, R., Yadav, A., Srivastava, C. P. and Kumar, R. (2016).** Evaluation of certain newer insecticides against pod fly (*Melanagromyza obtusa*) and pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) on long duration pigeonpea. *Research on Crops*, 17(1):129-133.
- **Kumar, A., Miashra, M. and Prakash, S. (2012).** Biology of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato in Tarai Region of Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Experimental Zoology, India*. 1 6(1): 101-104.
- **Lavanya, V., and Kumar, A. (2022).** Efficacy of certain chemicals against gram pod borer

[*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2022; **11**(3): 1293-1297.

- **Nithish, A., Joshi, B., Jayaram, C. S. and Kariyanna, B. (2015).** Bio-efficacy of Some Newer Insecticides against Gram Pod Borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) in Pigeonpea under Field Conditions. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **6**(3):563-566.
- **Prasanna, P. M., Badiger, B. and Shivamurthy, D. (2020).** Bio-efficacy of insecticide, Cyclaniliprole 100 DC against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). Infesting chickpea. *IJCS*, **8**(4):3070-3073.
- **Rani, D. S., Kumar, S. P., Venkatesh, M. N., Sri, C. H. N. S. and Kumar, K. A. (2018).** Bio efficacy of insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in Redgram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(2):3173-3176.
- **Rashid, A., Saeed, H. A., Akhtar, L. H., Siddiqi, S. Z. and Arshad, M. (2003).** Comparative efficacy of various insecticides to control gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) on chickpea. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*
- **Sarwar, M. (2012).** Competency of natural and synthetic chemicals in controlling gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea crop. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. **2**(4): 132-135.
- **Shah, J. A., Inayatullah, M., Sohail, K., Shah, S. F., Shah, S., Iqbal, T. and Usman, M.(2013).** Efficacy of botanical extracts and a chemical pesticide against tomato fruit worm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. **29**(1).
- **Singh, P., Singh, R., Kumar, S., Kumar, V. and Kumar, S. (2015).** Bioefficacy of certain new insecticides against larval population of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea. *The Ecoscan*, **7**, 315-318.
- **Talekar, N. S., Opena, R. T., Hanson, P. (2006).** *Helicoverpa armigera* management: a review of AVRDC's research on host plant resistance in tomato. *Crop Protection*. **5**: 461-467.
- **Wubneh, W. Y. (2016).** Biological control of chickpea pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): A global concern. *world scientific news*, **45**(2):92-110.
- **Yadav, R. K., Chandra, U., Veer, R., Raj, A., Gautam, C. P. N., Kumar, S. and Singh, G. (2019).** Relative efficacy of newer insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa*

armigera. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(4):599-601.