

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102191
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Iron and Boron on uptake of nutrient and soil nutrient status after harvest of Safflower Crop (Carthamus tinctorius L.) in Kalyan Karnataka
Type of the Article	article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript investigated the importance effect of the micro elements such as iron and boron to improve the uptake of other essential nutrient for the sunflower plant. It sounds very important results they obtained. The application can be recommended for commercial production.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More reference might help, I suggested some.</p> <p>Some correction is needed stated in the reviewed manuscript need to be addressed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	minor	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zienab Ahmed
Department, University & Country	United Arab Emirates University, UAE