

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofPathogenResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPR_95665
TitleoftheManuscript:	EffectofPlantExtracton PathogensIsolatedfromWaterSource
Typeofthe Article	

General guidelineforPeer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. ToknowthecompletelineforPeer Reviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthislink:

<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>

ReviewForm 1.7

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the study describes the potential use of extracts of Lemongrass and Aloe Vera to control pathogens isolated from water sources.</p> <p>Yes, the title corresponds to the content of the article.</p> <p>Yes, both are correct.</p> <p>Yes, sections are correct and well organized.</p> <p>Yes, the information of the manuscript is well correlated, mainly the phytochemical study with the biological activities of the extracts.</p> <p>References are Ok, but some of them are not in the same style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Information is well organized. - All sections are adequate. - Only few changes should be considered which are shown in the attached file. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergio Arturo Galindo-Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, México