

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102757
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT GENOTYPES OF SPONGE GOURD [Luffa cylindrica L.] UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- First, we thank the editors for their extensive care, awareness, and knowledge of relevant research areas, The manuscript (Manuscript _Ms_IJECC_102757), entitled "EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT GENOTYPES OF SPONGE GOURD [Luffa cylindrica L.] UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITIONS" has been carefully revised according to the editor's instructions. the article considered the sustainability of the world in terms of meeting human needs despite climate change, economic development and population growth.</p> <p>2- I agree The title of the article</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article gives a clear and complete idea of the content of the article</p> <p>4. I approve the subsections and the structure of the manuscript. On condition of adding the material and method part.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct so that it used all the parameters necessary for the study.</p> <p>6. The addition of recent references is mandatory for the feasibility of the study, I think that the number of recent references mentioned is insufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language and quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Recent references are insufficient, it is necessary to increase the number of recent references and in the same fields of research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Awatef Rhimi
Department, University & Country	Tunisia