

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_102955
Title of the Manuscript:	CAREER MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES PRIORITIZATION ON IMPROVING SANGGUNIANG KABATAAN CHAIRPERSONS' RETENTION: A SPHERICAL FUZZY ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS-BASED APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The ability to arrange or deal with responsibilities that have been assigned in the order of importance is known as task prioritization, and it is regarded as a skill that is not technical. It requires a variety of skills, such as the creation of an initial agenda, the ongoing evaluation of a situation, the initiation and monitoring of tasks, the allocation of resources in the order of priority, and the interruption of low-priority tasks when urgent tasks arise.</p> <p>The name of this manuscript needs to be shortened.</p> <p>The abstract can be considered satisfactory.</p> <p>It is recommended that the section on the literature review be included in the subsection, and that the paper be revised up until the year 2023.</p> <p>This manuscript does not have any significant scientific errors.</p> <p>The most recent reference should be within the past five years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English is understandable, but some of the sentences may be confusing or difficult to follow due to grammatical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Supparang Ruangvanich</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chandrasem Rajabhat University, Thailand</b>