

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_100280
Title of the Manuscript:	Variability and Association of Some Morpho-agronomic Traits in Advanced Faba bean ( <i>Vicia faba</i> L.) Genotypes at Potential Areas of South Eastern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<b>Yes, provide valuable breeding information about the Faba bean for its potential genotypes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes, need to add the location of trial (organization/university)</b>  <b>Yes, but not given results of previous studies in the introduction, in materials and methods not mentioned the organization and not given the dates of sowing and harvesting</b>  <b>yes</b>  <b>Yes, but need to be revised according to the instructions of the journals</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Experiment conducted at two locations with different entries that is not comparable and also not proper so the Editor may took the proper decision for full paper or short communication	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Avtar Singh Bimbraw</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Punjab Agricultural University, India</b>