

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_97748
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF MINING ACTIVITIES ON SOME BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF OPANDA-UGYA INHABITANTS, TOTO LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, since the manuscript is trying to analyse the biochemical impact of mining activities to the people living around. - Yes, the title suits the manuscript. - Yes, the title of the manuscript is scientifically written. - Yes, but should be written in regards to the journal style. - Yes - Yes, it's addressing a very great concern which is in line with the Sustainable development that is on health issues. - The cited references are somewhat old, but I suggest that authors should include more recent references to back up those ones. - Introduction Please I suggest that the author(s) should provide a clear background, which defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done. - Sampling sites should be described clearly, either by including coordinates or Ecological map. - In table 2: the Liver function test reference should include the range for Female likewise Male differently. - Conclusion should be addressed clearly. - More detailed comments I refer to the manuscript file. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some minor grammatical errors should be corrected. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript suits the Journal standard, therefore it's publishable. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Onen
Department, University & Country	University of Kerala, India