

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_102432
Title of the Manuscript:	Umbilical Cord Blood Hemoglobin as a Predictor of Significant Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia in Term Newborns
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The spelling of predictor in the topic need to be checked if British English is being used</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The manuscript does not specify exactly where the study took place, for example country</p> <p>The haemoglobin determination used calorimetric method therefore it is important to specify the machine used as well as the serial number of the machine for easy follow up if the study id to be repeated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes but with minor corrections like not starting a sentence with a figure i.e 162 but rather One hundred and sixty two</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The table format used is not a scientific table</p> <p>Under the conclusion section there is no need of presenting the results again</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harris Jinazali
Department, University & Country	University of Livingstonia, Malawi