

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_101664
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the Feasibility of a Renewed Approach to the Mechanical Cleaning Stage in Wastewater Treatment Plant – A Laboratory Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I consider that the subject of the manuscript is interesting, especially because the work resulting has a great impact on the society and the environment. But the present work should improve in the following aspects before publication.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. No</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Need revision</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English needs to be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The presented work should be in my opinion strongly improved to be considered for publication in Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Report. The authors must address the following questions:</p> <p>1- The authors must provide commentary on the validation and the reproducibility of their results to ensure their findings can be reliably replicated.</p> <p>2- The authors have claimed that the method developed in this work is an ideal approach for wastewater treatment. For this, how is the influence of pH in the filtration of wastewater? we know that the filtration depends on the pH significantly.</p> <p>3- It would be interesting to conduct experiments with other samples as well.</p> <p>4- The draft contains several sentences that lack clarity and requires more careful proofreading.</p> <p>5- The English needs to be improved and the text need to be re-organized following the comments above.</p> <p>6- The authors should be more diligent in citing references both in the text and in the reference list, in accordance with the Instructions to Authors.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelkrim Abourriche
Department, University & Country	University Cadi Ayyad, National School of Applied Sciences, Morocco