

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_101952
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTION OF PEARL MILLET IN JAIPUR DISTRICT OF RAJASTHAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes ! It is very useful and important because The results indicated that the number of respondents who had Graduation education were more in Medium size farms followed by Small and Marginal, and it was also observed that the number of illiterates were more in Medium size farms followed by Marginal and Small size of farms</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes subsection titles are also appropriate.</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes all recent references are used in the paper</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes it is good English</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good research</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharada Patil
Department, University & Country	Savitribai Phule Pune University, India