

## Review Form1.7

JournalName:	<b>InternationalJournalof Plant&amp;SoilScience</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_102417</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>Effectof VeritiesandOrganicmanureongrowthandyieldofrice(oryzasativaL.)</b>
TypeoftheArticle	

### **General guidelineforPeerReviewprocess:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthislink:

<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>

[Review Form1.7](#)

**PART1:Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is a study that likely complements the effect of vermicompost and organic manure on rice growth and yield.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract should provide a concise summary of the study and significance of the findings or implications for rice cultivation.</li> <li>Focus on the relevance of verities and organic manure in enhancing rice growth and yield.</li> <li>The methodology was not clearly define about the experimental setup, such as the plots size, soil type, irrigation setup and other specific condition maintain during the study.</li> <li>The rationale behind the choice of treatments and their concentrations not clearly define.</li> <li>Include information on the statistical analysis method used.</li> <li>Insufficient supporting data to make conclusion i.e. information on the environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, etc.) during the experiment.</li> </ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) This manuscript will benefit the study of rice growth and yield analysis.</li> <li><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) Acceptable</li> <li><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Improvement- Improves sentence structure and readability.</li> <li><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Required to be arranged according to the journal standards. Are to improve:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Present the results in a more organized manner, using tables or figures to improve readability.</li> <li>Provide statistical analysis details, such as the statistical test used, degrees of freedom, and significance levels.</li> <li>Avoid repetitive statements of the same results. Summarize the main findings and focus on significant differences between treatments.</li> </ol> </li> <li><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Acceptable</li> <li><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> The review from soil study relating to rice cultivation e.g. influence from environment, weather, water sources, soil type etc can be add value of the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</b></li> </ol>	<p>YES</p>	

**Review Form1.7**

communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In conclusion: 1. standardising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• theuseofEnglishUSorUK</li><li>• Referencestyleto complywithjournalrequirement</li><li>• Proofreadthemanuscriptcarefullytocorrectanygrammaticalortypographicalerrors.</li><li>• Ensureconsistencyintheuseofterminologythroughoutthemanuscript.</li></ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Julyus Melvin Mobilik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maritime Transport Training Institute, Malaysia</b>