

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101626
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Bio -Pesticides and chemicals against gram Pod Borer [<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner)] on Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.) Wilczek)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.-Yes, the alternatives in agriculture pest control are relevant. 2.-No, the majority of the products were chemicals, it wasn't focused in bio-pesticides. "Comparison of the efficacy among Bio-pesticides and chemicals in crop protection against <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> " 3.- No, there are some contradictions in T5 in the abstract and in results 4.- Yes, But the Materials and Methods were incomplete and the figures 1 and 2 didn't show complete information related to the products. 5.- Yes, but need more information like the statistics. 6.- near 50% of the references are at least 10 years old	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to work in it	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jonatan Carmen Rangel Nuñez
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Guanajuato, México