

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101227
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of Inorganic Fertilizers with Vermicompost on Soil Parameters of Carrot (Daucus carota L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>No. Avoid the word "Effect". Soil Parameters of Carrot (Daucus carota L.) under treatment with Inorganic Fertilizers and Vermicompost</p> <p>In the abstract the author should better refine the main data, should avoid massive generalization.</p> <p>No. The data are disconnected, please correlate the properties evaluated and create more general titles, as effect of inorganic fertilizers.</p> <p>Simply, but yes.</p> <p>No. Please use citations that have five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Please provide a certified that the language is properly.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should increase his introduction, explain what vermicompost are and insert more recent data. In the development must insert a subheading where the parameters talk, that is, they must be correlated. How was the vermicompost prepared? What are the conditions? The material and methods should be described in a way that can be reproduced by other researchers.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marta Eliane Doumer
Department, University & Country	Instituto Federal Catarinense, Brazil