

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_100884</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Effect of Land Configuration and Sulphur on Growth, Yield and Economics of Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>)”</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ The article is very interesting. this study was carried out to determine the influence of land configuration and sulphur on the growth, yield, and economics of groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>).</li> <li>❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article.</li> <li>❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary about the results, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand. However, there is no need to repeat the title in the abstract, because the purpose of the experiment is clearly included.</li> <li>❖ Please rearrange the keywords alphabetically.</li> <li>❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization.</li> <li>❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding.</li> <li>❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color.</li> <li>❖ Please, rephrase the objective of the study in the introduction to avoid repetition.</li> <li>❖ Please, rephrase the first paragraph of the materials and methods to avoid repetition.</li> <li>❖ Tables: comprehensive and clear.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ English needs to be improved</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ <b>In general</b>, the paper is well written, and the manuscript is relevant and informative.</li> <li>❖ <b>Title</b>: the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article</li> <li>❖ <b>Abstract</b>: The abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand.</li> <li>❖ <b>Introduction</b>: The authors provide sufficient context on the subject.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq