

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_101527
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE EFFECT OF GAMMA-RAY BURST ON THE AGRICULTURAL SOIL TEMPERATURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is important for the scientific community and for society as a whole, especially for farmers. Additionally, it is very clear and understandable.</p> <p>Yes, it is very clear.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is correctly structured.</p> <p>Yes, I'm sure.</p> <p>Yes, it's correct.</p> <p>Yes. I consider that the references are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I managed to spot some minor grammatical errors. Therefore, I suggest that the Author make a careful revision of the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The coefficient of determination (R^2) of the graph in Figure 1 is very low. Is it correct to consider the relationship found?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre Ricardo Pereira Schuler
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brasil