

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_102409
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of hyperbaric oxygen in middle-aged and elderly patients with chronic prostatitis and depression
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript written satisfactorily.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Recent references may be included.</p> <p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, Hamilton Depression Scale (ADMH and mentioned either ADMH or HAMD all over the manuscript), CPPS, etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The authors may include a flow chart for inclusion and exclusion criteria for better understanding.</p> <p>3. The authors may cite recent prevalence or incidence data about chronic prostatitis and it should be at-least of 2022 or 2023.</p> <p>4. When referring to SPSS versions beginning from 19, authors should cite 'IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA)'.</p> <p>5. The authors may improve the discussion of their results by focusing on the present findings and introducing data from other authors who also worked with the same or other studies with recent references since it is lack of sufficient references.</p> <p>6. The overall conclusion is not included in the manuscript and also at the end of the discussion the authors are not discussed conclusively. It should be properly checked and added in the manuscript under separate heading.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "was processed" may be as "were processed"; "of bold" as "of the bold"; "non-invasive" as "the non-invasive". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "T test" may be as "t-test or 't test"; "of diabetes" as "of Diabetes"; "ischemia reperfusion" as "ischemia-reperfusion"; "hyperphysiological" as "hyper physiological"; "NIH-CPSI .the" as "NIH-CPSI. The"; "non normal" as "non-normal"; "non parametric" as "non-parametric"; "pre treatment" as "pre-treatment"; "Post treatment" as "Post-treatment"; "low temperature" as "low-temperature"; "hypothalamus pituitary" as "hypothalamus-pituitary". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India