

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102862
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management strategies for Maize (Zea mays L.) production under Eastern Ghat High Land Zone of Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript needs extensive revision for language and grammar.</p> <p>It appears that you have improperly spaced some punctuation, Consider adding a space.</p> <p>It seems that there is an article usage problem here verb/objectives</p> <p>Restrict Abstract to 300 words and correct format as per journal noms</p> <p>Take care that all the references mentioned in the text are also mentioned in this section and vice versa. DOI no. of all the References (wherever possible/available) should also be mentioned. References are not written as per journal guidelines. This is mandatory to write all the references strictly as per format of the journal guidelines.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It seems that preposition use may be incorrect here.</p> <p>It seems that this sentence contains a series of three or more words, phrases, or clauses. Consider inserting a comma to separate the elements.</p> <p>The comma may be separating the subject and verb in your sentence. Consider removing it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The reference number to be properly inserted with the reference number in the article by serial number</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Senthilkumar
Department, University & Country	The Indian Agriculture College, India