

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102680</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERFORMANCE OF BOTTLE GOURD HYBRIDS FOR GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITIONS.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I believe that if the author organizes the ideas following the suggestions placed below, in this evaluation, the research becomes relevant.</p> <p>2. Yes, is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, very comp</p> <p>4. Yes, is appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, this paper is scientifically correct, but it needs changes to be published</p> <p>6. Need to add recent references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The conclusion could bring the gains that producers in this region could have in economic terms, in addition to revenue and costs. The author could bring aspects that this culture would bring to the region as a whole in the generation of taxes, jobs, technification, among others.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. In the introduction, it would be Interesting for the author to present the relevance of the topic addressed. Include economic aspects in technical analysis.</p> <p>2. here is no need to list hybrids in the introduction. This mischaracterizes the introductory proposal. It would be interesting to put this table in the Material and Methods.</p> <p>3. In the material and methods part, it would be interesting for the author to say why this type of method? Is there no other type of method that brings better results?</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diane Aparecida Ostroski
Department, University & Country	The Federal Technological University, Brazil