

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_101890
Title of the Manuscript:	Cacchi-Ricci and Caroli : Both rare but frequently associated
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes</p> <p>2- NO. "frequently associated" ??? There is no citation about the existence of these diseases association in the literature described in the discussion or references section. I suggest reviewing the insertion of this knowledge in discussion or remove from title.</p> <p>3- Yes</p> <p>4- Discussion is poor</p> <p>5- Need more information in discussion. The name of disease in incorrect in the text. CACCHI-RICCI</p> <p>6- The is no references about the association, and just 6 references, revealing the need to review the discussion section</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is adequate but needs revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This case report is about two congenital diseases that are rarely associated, but the authors said in the title "frequently associated" and doesn't prove the statement</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	SANDRA MARA WITKOWSKI
Department, University & Country	UNIVERSITY OF ITAJAI VALLEY, BRAZIL