

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_100899
Title of the Manuscript:	TWO YEARS AFTER THE ROLLOUT OF COVID-19 VACCINES, HAS HESITANCY CHANGED?
Type of the Article	It is not explained on the manuscript?

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, if it is written in detail</p> <p>2. Not, it is better if modified as “THE STATUS OF COVID-19 VACCINES HESITANCY TWO YEARS AFTER THE ROLLOUT OF COVID-19 VACCINES”</p> <p>3. NO, it is mainly concentrated to the introductory part of the manuscript</p> <p>4. It is not the style of the journal, it is not, subtopics must be numbered accordingly.</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. No, it is not enough it is better if strengthened</p> <p>i. Tadesse TA, Antheneh A, Teklu A, Teshome A, Alemayehu B, Belayneh A, Abate D, Abiye AA. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and its Reasons in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2022 Nov;32(6):1061-1070. doi: 10.4314/ejhs.v32i6.2. PMID: 36475258; PMCID: PMC9692159.</p> <p>ii. Ackah, B.B.B., Woo, M., Stallwood, L. et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Africa: a scoping review. glob health res policy 7, 21 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-022-00255-1</p> <p>iii. Bullock, J., Lane, J.E. & Shults, F.L. What causes COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy? Ignorance and the lack of bliss in the United Kingdom. Humanit Soc Sci Commun 9, 87 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01092-w</p> <p>iv. Abetu Mehari, E., Mekonen, T.G., Tesfahun Adugnaw, M. and Abubeker Abdela, O., 2023. Prevalence of COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Its Associated Factors among Chronic Disease Patients in a Resource Limited Setting in Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Advances in Public Health, 2023.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, it is better to modify fluency to transmit the message clearly</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Give space between the word and bracket “threats to global health[1], unearthed at the time of this work[15] and harbored by almost all countries[10] and other with the same problem</p> <p>2. Correct the citation as the journal's style, here two style of citation “COVID-19 vaccines hence the hesitancy [5, 12, 13](Dhama et al., 2021)”.</p> <p>3. In the topic “What is the Current Situation for COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy?”, it is better to add possible supportive idea for the difference in vaccine hesitancy among the countries.</p> <p>4. The sentence “If this trend were to hold for a longer period, the resultant effect could be catastrophic to the global efforts to control the COVID-19 pandemic [17].” should be supported by the facts how hesitancy in certain country result in global effect.</p> <p>5. It is better to add detailed causative factors for the hesitancy because it helps in developing effective strategies to improve vaccine acceptance.</p> <p>6. The idea under “Strategies in fighting against COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy” is not relevant and does not give full information on the topic</p> <p>7. Type of the Article is not explained on the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habamu Endale Tilahun
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia