

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102825
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFIT AND FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS OF TILAPIA CULTIVATION BUSINESS IN FLOATING NET CAGES, BARITO KUALA REGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The study "Profit and Feasibility Analysis of Tilapia Cultivation Business in Floating Net Cages, Barito Kuala Regency" is important for the scientific community. The main objective of the study is to evaluate the benefits and feasibility of cultivating tilapia floating net cages in Barito Kuala Regency, cultivating fish in floating net cages. For analyzing the profit analysis, the researcher has used mathematical formula like $\pi = TR - TC$ and for analyzing business feasibility analysis, the researcher used the Net Present Value, Net Benefit Ratio, Internal Rate of Return. From the study, it is concluded that the total business revenue is greater than the total costs incurred.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references given by the researcher are sufficient, but not shown under the separate heading.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researcher has to present the "Review of Literature" under the separate heading. "Source of Data" can also be mentioned in the article. The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Table 1 The researcher has to write 'Source' for Table 4 The researcher has to write 'Source' for Table 5</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagamani K N
Department, University & Country	Government First Grade College KGF, India