

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102825
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFIT AND FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS OF TILAPIA CULTIVATION BUSINESS IN FLOATING NET CAGES, BARITO KUALA REGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In response to the key questions cited in the review sheet, the recommendations to be considered for the publication of the article are suggested by chapters or sections.:</p> <p>RESEARCH TITLE: PROFIT AND FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS OF TILAPIA CULTIVATION BUSINESS IN FLOATING NET CAGES, BARITO KUALA REGENCY.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Consider that the width of the title should not exceed 15 words. Provide greater precision and capacity for synthesis without losing the essence of what is to be described in the investigation <p>SUMMARY</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is necessary to give greater precision, it must show the key components of the article such as: Objective of study, methodology, results and conclusion. Its length must be between 150 and 250 words. Sort the keywords alphabetically up to a maximum of five. <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is necessary not to saturate the paragraphs that, according to APA style, the maximum possible is for direct citations up to 5 lines and for indirect citations 10 lines. It is important to consider updated bibliography in a good percentage of its citations are from quite previous years, it is preferable within the last 5 years <p>THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK/LITERATURE REVIEW</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> As far as possible, this chapter should be differentiated from the introduction to allow a clearer picture of the theoretical and conceptual contribution. <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The procedures, techniques or steps that the study developed to guide the achievement of the general objective must be carefully considered. Consider the structure and key components as dimensions that the survey addressed. If possible, to give greater scientific support to the research, include more interviews that allow a better projection of comparison of variable costs and other indicators to be visualized. <p>RESULTS PRESENTATION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Review the APA Guide SEVENTH EDITION, for the design and statements of tables and graphs. Consider this chapter differently from the discussion. <p>DISCUSSION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Differentiate this chapter from the results. It is recommended to use the following process for its writing, consider the review of the 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>literature, the results of the study, the analysis of the study background and finally the general objective and specific objectives for its writing that allows a deep analysis between convergences and divergence regarding the impact of the topic under study.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>1. It must focus on the conclusive essence of the work based on the results found, the discussion analysis of the results and the general objective that the study sought to achieve. Ending with the appreciation or analysis of the researcher(s).</p> <p>BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES</p> <p>1. The study must emphasize the APA Regulations, being necessary to restructure the forms and details according to the APA Standards Guide 7th edition: For this, the source is left: https://normas-apa.org/wp-content/uploads/Guide-APA-Standards-7th-edition.pdf</p> <p>2. In essence, the article works with APA style. Remember that each citation can originate from an article, a magazine, a PDF file, a book, etc. each of these has a way of writing.</p> <p>3. Evaluate the number of bibliographical references cited in relation to the requirements of the journal</p> <p>FINAL CONSIDERATION: It is important to take the suggested measures and publish the work, which in our opinion is highly relevant to the scientific community and the general population.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is necessary to review some articles and verbs for a better scientific writing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General comment: The research shows a topic of interest within the framework of business growth since it is always good to take advantage of opportunities that allow evaluating the balance of profitability in the processes of entry and exit of businesses to make objective their sustainability over time.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Espiritu Martinez Antony Paul
Department, University & Country	National Autonomous High Andean University of Tarma, Perú