

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_100507
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Usefulness of Wild <i>Dioscorea esculenta</i> (wild yam) flour as substrate for citric acid biosynthesis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajb2t.com/index.php/AJB2T/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript has reasonable scientific merit.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is neatly presented.</p> <p>The overall structure of the manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically rational.</p> <p>Sufficient references are included in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Proficiency of the English language of the article is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The current work is appreciated, it tried Wild <i>Dioscorea esculenta</i> (wild yam) flour as a potential substrate for citric acid biosynthesis. Introduction and Materials and Methods were neatly presented. Sufficient results were generated in support of the objective of the work. In Discussion part, the results has been interpreted and aligned in the light of current knowledge. Author (s) are suggested to conduct optimization studies to understand the favourable variables for the production of citric acid. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	SK Chand Basha
Department, University & Country	KL (Deemed to be University), India