

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102147
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Varieties and sulphur on growth and yield validation of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript has some scientific importance to the community as Sulphur and Rice both are important.</p> <p>The Title is not suitable and suggested alternate title: "Effect of sulphur on growth and yield of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) varieties."</p> <p>The abstract and the article is comprehensive</p> <p>The sub-sections and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient but not from recent. So few references of recent works should be cited.</p> <p>Another table with CGR, TW, Gross return, Net return, B:C ratio is missing.</p> <p>Most of the times capital letters are not needed as suggested.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality needs to improve. Most of the time spelling mistakes found.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has scope to improve.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tankeswar Gohain
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, India