

Original Research Article

Study the physico-chemical properties of value added papaya candy from different herbal extracts in term of quality and shelf life.

Comment [KK1]: Its is physico-

Comment [KK2]: There should be hyphen to connect two words

Comment [KK3]: Delete dot

Abstract

The present experiment was conducted during year 2022 at the Post Harvest Laboratory of Horticulture Department, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj to study “Study on development and value addition of papaya candy with different herbal extracts” The experiment was conducted in Completely randomized design (CRD) with thirteen treatments replicated thrice. Total number of treatments were ten viz. (T₀ Control +70% sugar), (T₁ Sugar 70%+Cardamom 1.0%), (T₂ Sugar 70%+Cardamom 1.5%), (T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%), (T₄ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.5%), (T₅ Sugar 70%+tulasi 1.0%), (T₆ Sugar 70%+tulasi 1.5%), (T₇ Sugar 70%+lemon grass 1.0%), (T₈ Sugar 70%+lemon grass 1.5%), (T₉ Sugar 70%+Rose syrup 1.0%), (T₁₀ Sugar 70%+Rose syrup 1.5%), (T₁₁ Sugar 70%+mint 1.0%) and (T₁₂ Sugar 70%+mint 1.5%). The treatment (T₄ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.5%) was found superior in respect of parameters like TSS^o(Brix), ph, acidity(%), ascorbic acid (mg/100g), reducing sugar(%), non-reducing sugar(%), total sugar(%), Colour score texture flavour taste overall acceptability. Benefit cost Ratio was found highest (1.82) in the treatment (T₉ Sugar 70%+Rose syrup 1.0%).

Comment [KK4]: There is no need to mention this information in abstract. The abstract needs to be revised. While revising, keep in mind that it should highlight background, processing conditions and parameters along with findings in a precise way. It is also suggested to add future prospect/ potential application of this study at the end of abstract.

Comment [KK5]: What is the significance of 1.82 BCR in present study?

Keywords: Herbal papaya candy, Sugar, Cardamom, Ginger, Leman, Tulsi, Rose syrup and Mint.

Introduction

Papaya (*Carica papaya* Linn) belongs to family Caricaceae is one of the important tropical plant cultivated in India, ranks first in its production. It is native to tropical America, the papaya has spread to several regions of the world, and its largest producers are India, Brazil, Mexico, Nigeria and well distributed in most of the tropical countries. It is generally found in tropical zone of planet as it thrives in hot, humid and frost – free climates. The internal cavity of the fruit contains numerous black seeds, edible, spicy flavor, coated with mucilaginous substance and it comprise about 15% of the wet weight of the fruit (Desai, Wagh, 1995). The seed of papaya has antimicrobial activity against *Trichomonas vaginalis* trophozoites.

Comment [KK6]: Write in italic form

Comment [KK7]: Need to add conjunction word

Comment [KK8]: Is there any need to add this information in ma ?

Comment [KK9]: comprises

Comment [KK10]: check reference style

Comment [KK11]: Write in italic

India ranks second for fruits and vegetables producer in the world followed by China. India, during 2017-18 has produced about 97358 Thousand MT fruits and 184394 Thousand MT vegetables in about 6506 Thousand Ha and 10259 Thousand Ha areas, respectively (Horticultural Statistics At a Glance, 2018, Moa & FW Gal). In spite of this, the per capita availability of fruit in India is 107 gm/day which is below the recommended 120 gm/day. India's share of global exports of fresh fruits and processed fruit products is also quite major compared to other major fruit producers of the world (Bung, 2012). Unfortunately fruits and vegetables being perishable in nature get wasted to the tune of 20-30 % in the supply chain due to improper handling, transportation and poor post-harvest management; and only 2 % of them are processed in to value added

Comment [KK12]: Use SI style while mentioning units and keep uniformity throughout the manuscript.

Comment [KK13]: Check font size

products and the rest is consumed fresh. The importance of a high fruit and vegetable intake as an essential part of a healthy life style has received an increasing amount of attention during the last decade. The benefits of an adequate intake of fruit and vegetables are observed in a wide range of epidemiological studies. It is well known that an adequate intake of fruit and vegetables promotes health as it is important in the prevention of non-communicable diseases like cardiovascular disease, obesity and cancer, which today are large public health problems. The health promoting effect of fruit and vegetables is related with their bioactive constituents, in particular phenol compound. These substances act through several mechanisms, such as reducing oxidative stress, improving lipoprotein profile, lowering blood pressure and improving homeostasis regulation thus contributing to healthy lifestyle (Scalbert et al., 2005). Consumption of fruits and vegetables has been promoted because of their vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, and fiber content.

Comment [KK14]: Write compounds

Papaya fruits are rich in enzymes called papain and chymopapain that break down the proteins from the food a person eats into amino acids and therefore helps digestion.. The anti-inflammatory properties and high antioxidant content of papaya is known to prevent cholesterol oxidation and can be used in preventative treatments against strokes, heart attacks, diabetic, heart disease and blood pressure (Eno et al., 2000). Nutritionally, papaya is a good source of calcium and an excellent source of vitamins A and C (Nakasone and Paull 1998).

Comment [KK15]: Keep relevancy among the paragraphs. Author should compile information about production of papaya and its composition altogether.

Comment [KK16]: Modify the sentence, it doesn't fit grammatically.

Papaya provides significant amounts of vitamin C. Typically, this fruit is consumed when ripe, soft, and sweet. It is eaten in fruit salads, with yogurt, or blended as juice. Unripe papaya is used in cooking, particularly curry and stew dishes. Because it has a high pectin content, papaya is also prepared as jelly, jam, and preserves. Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is well known for its exceptional nutritional and medicinal properties throughout the world. In the present review article, a humble attempt is made to compile all the strange facts available about this tasty fruit. This tasty fruit of Papaya is popular among family members of all ages for the delicious dishes derived Parle *et al.*, (2011).

Comment [KK17]: Sentence is repeating, it is better to delete this.

Comment [KK18]: Add space

Apart from using as a dessert fruit, a variety of products such as tutti-frutti, jam, jelly, sauce, toffee, bar, leather, pickles, crystallized fruits and dried slices may also be prepared from unripe or ripe papaya fruits. However, due to inadequate facilities for post harvest handling, storage, processing and preservation, still the post-harvest losses are reported to be around 30%. Hence there is a need to take up scientific handling and post harvest management including processing to reduce the losses Nilam *et al.*, (2021).

Comment [KK19]: Rewrite this sentence, and check the reference style throughout the manuscript

Comment [KK20]: Introduction lacks literature studies related to fruit candy. It also misses novelty behind this work.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation entitled **Study “the physio-chemical properties of value added papaya candy from different herbal extracts in term of quality and shelf life”** was laid out in the Post Harvest Laboratory of Horticulture Department, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during the year 2022.

The treatments were **T₀**: Control (standard recipe), **T₁**: Sugar 70%+Cardamom 1.0%, **T₂**: Sugar 70%+Cardamom 1.5%, **T₃**: Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, **T₄**: Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, **T₅**: Sugar 70%+tulsi 1.0%, **T₆**: Sugar 70%+ tulsi 1.5%, **T₇**: Sugar 70%+lemon grass 1.0% **T₈**: Sugar 70%+ lemon grass 1.5% **T₉**: Sugar 70%+Rose syrup 1.0% **T₁₀**: Sugar 70%+ Rose syrup 1.5% **T₁₁**: Sugar 70%+mint 1.0% **T₁₂**: Sugar 70%+ mint 1.5%.

Results and Discussion

TSS of papaya candy was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. total soluble solid (⁰Brix) observed was (86.79) with the treatment T4 Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the lowest total soluble solid (⁰Brix) observed was (73.54) with the treatment control. After 30 days storage of papaya candy highest total soluble solid (⁰Brix) observed was (87.45) with the treatment T4 Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the minimum total soluble solid (⁰Brix) observed was (73.96) with the treatment control.

Increase in TSS during storage can be due to conversion of polysaccharides into sugars during hydrolysis process. Therefore, TSS found to increase slightly with increase in storage period. Similar findings reported by **Manivsagan (2011)** in karonda candy and by **Navitha and Mishra (2018)** in papaya candy.

PH of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. lowest pH observed was (4.170) with the treatment T4 Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the lowest pH highest was (6.203) with the treatment control. After 45 days storage of papaya candy lowest pH observed was (4.280) with the treatment T4 Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the maximum pH observed was (6.313) with the treatment control.

Variations in pH during storage may be due to change in chemical properties which are affected by storage conditions. This finding agreed with the finding of **Vikram and Prasad (2014)**, & **Rayguru et al., (2008)** also reported similar trend in apple jam.

Acidity of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. lowest acidity (%) observed was (0.207). with the treatment T0 Control, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the maximum acidity (%) observed was (3.21) with the treatment T₁₁ Sugar 70%+mint 1.0%. After 45 days storage of papaya candy lowest acidity (%) observed was (0.195) with the treatment T₀ Control, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the maximum acidity (%) observed was (0.310) with the treatment T₁₁ Sugar 70%+mint 1.0%.

Comment [KK21]: Materials and methods section is poorly structured. Authors are advised to consult some well written and relevant research articles to gain insight into effectively structuring of this section. The statistical analysis must be carried out with suitable software to strengthen the data analyzed.

Comment [KK22]: This section is poorly organized. Authors are advised to rewrite this section and to present the findings through data tables and graphs, whichever deems suitable. The findings must be well supported with relevant literature studies. The parameters related to physico-chemical analysis must be explained under different subheadings.

Comment [KK23]:

Comment [KK24]: No information is given about the significant effect of independent variables on dependent variables.

The decrease in acidity (%) in papaya candy during storage can be the result of chemical interaction between papaya candy constituents induced by temperature and action of enzyme. Similar results were reported by **Neelesh (2014)** in papaya candy and Navitha and **Mishra (2018)** in Ber candy.

Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. maximum ascorbic acid (mg/100g) observed was (19.05) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the lowest ascorbic acid (mg/100g) observed was (13.82) with the treatment control. After 45 days storage of papaya candy maximum ascorbic acid (mg/100g) observed was (18.45) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the lowest ascorbic acid (mg/100g) observed was (13.16) with the treatment control.

Ascorbic acid in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its shelf life. Similar results were reported by **Daisy and Gehlot (2006)** in Aonla preserve., and **Neelesh (2014)** in papaya cand.

Reducing sugar (%) of papaya candy was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. maximum reducing sugar (%) observed was (14.31) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the lowest reducing sugar (%) observed was (10.15) with the treatment control. After 45 days storage of papaya candy maximum reducing sugar (%) observed was (18.30) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the lowest reducing sugar (%) observed was (13.64) with the treatment control.

Reducing sugar in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its shelf life. Usually, high sugar content makes the moisture unavailable for the growth of microorganisms, thus improves the shelf life of food. Similar results were reported by **Daisy and Gehlot (2006)** in Aonla preserve.

Non-reducing sugar (%) of papaya candy was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. lowest non-reducing sugar (%) observed was (4.26) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the maximum non-reducing sugar (%) observed was (6.19) with the treatment control. After 45 days storage of papaya candy lowest non-reducing sugar (%) observed was (4.92) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the maximum non-reducing sugar (%) observed was (7.18) with the treatment control.).

The non-reducing sugar of papaya candy show increasing trend in all treatment during storage and it may be due to increase in time interval and temperature, similar reading was recorded by **Bajaj and Mehta (2007)** in citrus juice.

Total sugar (%) of papaya candy was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. maximum total sugar (%) observed was (18.56) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the minimum total sugar (%) observed was (16.340) with the treatment control. After 45 days storage of papaya candy maximum total sugar (%) observed was (23.21) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%, followed

by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While the minimum total sugar (%) observed was (20.82) with the treatment control.

Total sugar in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its shelf life. Usually, high sugar content makes the moisture unavailable for the growth of microorganisms, thus improves the shelf life of food. Similar results were reported by **Krishnaveni et al. (2001)** in jack fruit RTS, **Jain et al. (2004)** in papaya cubes.

Colour and Appearance (sensory score) of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of colour was noted (8.73) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of colour was noted (6.58) with the treatment T₀ Control. After 45 day storage, highest score of colour was noted (8.19) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of colour was noted (5.92) with the treatment T₀ Control.

Colour and in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its market value. colour is an attribute of food quality and loss of colour by osmotic dehydration process is one of the most significant changes. Similar results were reported by **Heredia (2004)** and **Singh et al., (2012)** in ber candy.

Texturer (sensory score) of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of texture was noted (8.18) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of texture was noted (6.14) with the treatment T₀ Control. After 45 day storage, highest score of texture was noted (7.64) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of texture was noted (5.48) with the treatment T₀ Control.

Texture in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its market value. This might be due to degradation of volatile substance and flavor constituents. Similar results were reported by **Ames (2003)** and **Chavan (2010)** in Jackfruit candy.

Flavour (sensory score) of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of flavour was noted (8.82) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of flavour was noted (8.51) with the treatment T₀ Control. After 45 day storage, highest score of flavour was noted (8.28) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of flavour was noted (5.85) with the treatment T₀ Control.

flavor in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its market value. This might be due to degradation of volatile substance and flavor constituents. Similar results were reported by **Hasanuzzaman (2014)** in tomato candy and **Deepak Singh Rathore (2020)** in Ber candy.

Taste (sensory score) of papaya candy was observed to decrease continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. , highest score of taste was noted (8.48) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of taste was noted (6.20) with the treatment T₀ Control. After 45 day storage, highest score of taste was noted (7.94) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of taste was noted (5.54) with the treatment T₀ Control.

The decreasing trend was observed for flavour, taste and texture with decrease storage period. This might be due to degradation of volatile substance and flavor constituents. Similar result was reported by **Nayak et al., (2011)** in aonla segments-in-syrup prepared from stored fruits. **Jain et al. (2007)** in aonla RTS beverages.

Overall acceptability (sensory score) of papaya candy was observed to increase continuously up to the end of research under ambient storage conditions. highest score of overall acceptability was noted (8.55) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of overall acceptability was noted (6.36) with the treatment T₀ Control. After 45 day storage, highest score of overall acceptability was noted (8.01) with the treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5% followed by treatment T₃ Sugar 70%+Ginger 1.0%, While least score of overall acceptability was noted (5.70) with the treatment T₀ Control.

Overall acceptability in any food commodity plays important role in deciding its market value. The decrease in overall acceptability score may be due to absorption of atmospheric moisture, dilution of sugars and changes in acidity, oxidation of ascorbic acid as well as changes in biochemical constituents of candy. Similar results were reported by **Sharma (2013)** in apple candy.

Table 1: Effect of herbal flavour on TSS (⁰Brix), p^H and Acidity % of papaya candy during storage

Comment [KK25]: Table's footer should have note to represent level of significance, p values, number of replications.

| S. No. | Treatment | Total soluble solid (⁰ Brix) | | | | pH | | | | Acidity (%) | | | |
|--------|---------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days |
| 1 | T ₀ | 73.54 | 73.64 | 73.79 | 73.96 | 6.203 | 6.233 | 6.273 | 6.313 | 0.207 | 0.204 | 0.200 | 0.195 |
| 2 | T ₁ | 85.17 | 85.35 | 85.57 | 85.82 | 5.145 | 5.175 | 5.215 | 5.255 | 0.277 | 0.269 | 0.260 | 0.259 |
| 3 | T ₂ | 85.51 | 85.66 | 85.87 | 86.13 | 5.040 | 5.070 | 5.110 | 5.150 | 0.275 | 0.266 | 0.265 | 0.263 |
| 4 | T ₃ | 86.28 | 86.45 | 86.67 | 86.95 | 4.470 | 4.500 | 4.540 | 4.580 | 0.269 | 0.260 | 0.259 | 0.257 |
| 5 | T ₄ | 86.79 | 86.95 | 87.15 | 87.45 | 4.170 | 4.200 | 4.240 | 4.280 | 0.264 | 0.255 | 0.254 | 0.252 |
| 6 | T ₅ | 83.41 | 83.55 | 83.77 | 84.05 | 5.417 | 5.447 | 5.487 | 5.527 | 0.305 | 0.296 | 0.295 | 0.293 |
| 7 | T ₆ | 83.65 | 83.77 | 84.01 | 84.25 | 5.430 | 5.460 | 5.500 | 5.540 | 0.293 | 0.284 | 0.283 | 0.281 |
| 8 | T ₇ | 83.26 | 83.37 | 83.59 | 83.85 | 5.250 | 5.280 | 5.320 | 5.360 | 0.286 | 0.277 | 0.276 | 0.275 |
| 9 | T ₈ | 84.71 | 84.84 | 85.05 | 85.30 | 4.787 | 4.817 | 4.857 | 4.897 | 0.282 | 0.273 | 0.272 | 0.270 |
| 10 | T ₉ | 82.15 | 82.29 | 82.51 | 82.77 | 5.733 | 5.763 | 5.803 | 5.843 | 0.312 | 0.303 | 0.302 | 0.301 |
| 11 | T ₁₀ | 82.63 | 82.78 | 83.01 | 83.26 | 5.677 | 5.707 | 5.747 | 5.787 | 0.309 | 0.300 | 0.299 | 0.298 |
| 12 | T ₁₁ | 81.40 | 81.56 | 81.77 | 82.03 | 5.800 | 5.830 | 5.870 | 5.910 | 0.321 | 0.312 | 0.311 | 0.310 |
| 13 | T ₁₂ | 81.56 | 81.70 | 81.92 | 82.16 | 5.737 | 5.767 | 5.807 | 5.847 | 0.317 | 0.308 | 0.307 | 0.306 |
| | F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| | S.Ed. (±) | 0.427 | 1.326 | 0.428 | 0.929 | 0.058 | 0.088 | 0.0277 | 0.150 | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.014 |
| | C.D. at 0.5% | 0.881 | 2.736 | 0.883 | 1.918 | 0.120 | 0.182 | 0.572 | 0.310 | 0.006 | 0.027 | 0.007 | 0.029 |

Table 2: Effect of herbal flavour on Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) Reducing sugar % And Non-reducing sugar % of papaya candy during storage

| S. No. | Treatment | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | | | | Reducing sugar (%) | | | | Non- reducing sugar (%) | | | |
|--------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days |
| 1 | T0 | 13.82 | 13.60 | 13.38 | 13.16 | 10.15 | 11.31 | 12.48 | 13.64 | 6.19 | 6.52 | 6.85 | 7.18 |
| 2 | T1 | 17.75 | 17.55 | 17.35 | 17.15 | 11.53 | 12.75 | 13.97 | 15.19 | 5.09 | 5.37 | 5.65 | 5.93 |
| 3 | T2 | 18.10 | 17.90 | 17.70 | 17.50 | 12.47 | 13.72 | 14.97 | 16.22 | 4.65 | 4.88 | 5.11 | 5.34 |
| 4 | T3 | 18.71 | 18.51 | 18.31 | 18.11 | 13.22 | 14.44 | 15.66 | 16.88 | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.88 | 5.13 |
| 5 | T4 | 19.05 | 18.85 | 18.65 | 18.45 | 14.31 | 15.64 | 16.97 | 18.30 | 4.26 | 4.48 | 4.70 | 4.92 |
| 6 | T5 | 14.78 | 14.58 | 14.38 | 14.18 | 11.63 | 12.84 | 14.05 | 15.26 | 5.33 | 5.54 | 5.75 | 5.96 |
| 7 | T6 | 15.26 | 15.06 | 14.86 | 14.66 | 11.77 | 12.88 | 13.99 | 15.10 | 5.24 | 5.49 | 5.74 | 5.99 |
| 8 | T7 | 16.39 | 16.19 | 15.99 | 15.79 | 11.95 | 13.17 | 14.39 | 15.61 | 5.14 | 5.37 | 5.60 | 5.83 |
| 9 | T8 | 17.12 | 16.92 | 16.72 | 16.52 | 12.10 | 13.22 | 14.34 | 15.46 | 5.13 | 5.34 | 5.55 | 5.76 |
| 10 | T9 | 14.27 | 14.07 | 13.87 | 13.67 | 11.33 | 12.44 | 13.55 | 14.66 | 5.38 | 5.63 | 5.88 | 6.13 |
| 11 | T10 | 14.59 | 14.39 | 14.19 | 13.99 | 11.48 | 12.68 | 13.88 | 15.08 | 5.29 | 5.51 | 5.73 | 5.95 |
| 12 | T11 | 14.07 | 13.87 | 13.67 | 13.47 | 11.08 | 12.30 | 13.52 | 14.74 | 5.82 | 6.03 | 6.24 | 6.45 |
| 13 | T12 | 14.33 | 14.13 | 13.93 | 13.73 | 11.20 | 12.41 | 13.62 | 14.83 | 5.72 | 5.94 | 6.16 | 6.38 |
| | F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| | S.Ed. (±) | 0.100 | 0.105 | 0.098 | 0.519 | 0.378 | 0.469 | 0.316 | 0.376 | 0.236 | 0.242 | 0.236 | 0.345 |
| | C.D. at 0.5% | 0.207 | 0.218 | 0.203 | 1.071 | 0.780 | 0.969 | 0.652 | 0.776 | 0.486 | 0.499 | 0.488 | 0.712 |

Table 3: Effect of herbal flavour on Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) Reducing sugar % And Non-reducing sugar % of Mango candy during storage

| S. No. | Treatment | Total sugar % | | | | Colour | | | | Texture | | | |
|--------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days |
| 1 | T0 | 16.340 | 17.835 | 19.330 | 20.825 | 6.58 | 6.36 | 6.14 | 5.92 | 6.14 | 5.92 | 5.70 | 5.48 |
| 2 | T1 | 16.620 | 18.120 | 19.620 | 21.120 | 8.47 | 8.29 | 8.11 | 7.93 | 7.93 | 7.75 | 7.57 | 7.39 |
| 3 | T2 | 17.120 | 18.600 | 20.080 | 21.560 | 8.62 | 8.44 | 8.26 | 8.08 | 7.97 | 7.79 | 7.61 | 7.43 |
| 4 | T3 | 17.600 | 19.070 | 20.540 | 22.010 | 8.65 | 8.47 | 8.29 | 8.11 | 8.06 | 7.88 | 7.70 | 7.52 |
| 5 | T4 | 18.567 | 20.117 | 21.667 | 23.217 | 8.73 | 8.55 | 8.37 | 8.19 | 8.18 | 8.00 | 7.82 | 7.64 |
| 6 | T5 | 16.957 | 18.377 | 19.797 | 21.217 | 7.93 | 7.75 | 7.57 | 7.39 | 7.49 | 7.31 | 7.13 | 6.95 |
| 7 | T6 | 17.003 | 18.363 | 19.723 | 21.083 | 8.14 | 7.96 | 7.78 | 7.60 | 7.59 | 7.41 | 7.23 | 7.05 |
| 8 | T7 | 17.090 | 18.540 | 19.990 | 21.440 | 8.25 | 8.07 | 7.89 | 7.71 | 7.63 | 7.45 | 7.27 | 7.09 |
| 9 | T8 | 17.237 | 18.567 | 19.897 | 21.227 | 8.34 | 8.16 | 7.98 | 7.80 | 7.70 | 7.52 | 7.34 | 7.16 |
| 10 | T9 | 16.710 | 18.070 | 19.430 | 20.790 | 7.73 | 7.55 | 7.37 | 7.19 | 7.27 | 7.09 | 6.91 | 6.73 |
| 11 | T10 | 16.773 | 18.193 | 19.613 | 21.033 | 7.78 | 7.60 | 7.42 | 7.24 | 7.36 | 7.18 | 7.00 | 6.82 |
| 12 | T11 | 16.903 | 18.333 | 19.763 | 21.193 | 7.62 | 7.44 | 7.26 | 7.08 | 7.24 | 7.06 | 6.88 | 6.70 |
| 13 | T12 | 16.923 | 18.353 | 19.783 | 21.213 | 7.62 | 7.44 | 7.26 | 7.08 | 7.46 | 7.28 | 7.10 | 6.92 |
| | F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| | S.Ed. (±) | 0.361 | 0.437 | 0.349 | 0.3814 | 0.070 | 0.074 | 0.093 | 0.072 | 0.073 | 0.067 | 0.093 | 0.062 |
| | C.D. at 0.5% | 0.746 | 0.901 | 0.720 | 0.786 | 0.415 | 0.152 | 0.191 | 0.148 | 0.152 | 0.138 | 0.192 | 0.129 |

Table 4: Effect of herbal flavour on score of flavour, taste and overall acceptability of papaya candy during storage.

| S. No. | Treatment | Flavour | | | | Taste | | | | Overall acceptability | | | |
|--------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days | 0 Day | 15 Days | 30 Days | 45 Days |
| 1 | T ₀ | 6.51 | 6.29 | 6.07 | 5.85 | 6.20 | 5.98 | 5.76 | 5.54 | 6.36 | 6.14 | 5.92 | 5.70 |
| 2 | T ₁ | 8.62 | 8.44 | 8.26 | 8.08 | 7.83 | 7.65 | 7.47 | 7.29 | 8.21 | 8.03 | 7.85 | 7.67 |
| 3 | T ₂ | 8.68 | 8.50 | 8.32 | 8.14 | 8.21 | 8.03 | 7.85 | 7.67 | 8.37 | 8.19 | 8.01 | 7.83 |
| 4 | T ₃ | 8.77 | 8.59 | 8.41 | 8.23 | 8.33 | 8.15 | 7.97 | 7.79 | 8.45 | 8.27 | 8.09 | 7.91 |
| 5 | T ₄ | 8.82 | 8.64 | 8.46 | 8.28 | 8.48 | 8.30 | 8.12 | 7.94 | 8.55 | 8.37 | 8.19 | 8.01 |
| 6 | T ₅ | 8.08 | 7.90 | 7.72 | 7.54 | 7.31 | 7.13 | 6.95 | 6.77 | 7.70 | 7.52 | 7.34 | 7.16 |
| 7 | T ₆ | 8.25 | 8.07 | 7.89 | 7.71 | 7.44 | 7.26 | 7.08 | 6.90 | 7.86 | 7.68 | 7.50 | 7.32 |
| 8 | T ₇ | 8.37 | 8.19 | 8.01 | 7.83 | 7.55 | 7.37 | 7.19 | 7.01 | 7.95 | 7.77 | 7.59 | 7.41 |
| 9 | T ₈ | 8.40 | 8.22 | 8.04 | 7.86 | 7.09 | 6.91 | 6.73 | 6.55 | 7.88 | 7.70 | 7.52 | 7.34 |
| 10 | T ₉ | 7.79 | 7.61 | 7.43 | 7.25 | 7.17 | 6.99 | 6.81 | 6.63 | 7.49 | 7.31 | 7.13 | 6.95 |
| 11 | T ₁₀ | 8.03 | 7.85 | 7.67 | 7.49 | 7.38 | 7.20 | 7.02 | 6.84 | 7.64 | 7.46 | 7.28 | 7.10 |
| 12 | T ₁₁ | 7.55 | 7.37 | 7.19 | 7.01 | 7.35 | 7.17 | 6.99 | 6.81 | 7.44 | 7.26 | 7.08 | 6.90 |
| 13 | T ₁₂ | 7.44 | 7.26 | 7.08 | 6.90 | 6.71 | 6.53 | 6.35 | 6.17 | 7.31 | 7.13 | 6.95 | 6.77 |
| | F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| | S.Ed. (±) | 0.051 | 0.053 | 0.056 | 0.066 | 0.092 | 0.093 | 0.125 | 0.122 | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.031 | 0.048 |
| | C.D. at 0.5% | 0.106 | 0.109 | 0.116 | 0.135 | 0.191 | 0.192 | 0.258 | 0.252 | 0.077 | 0.076 | 0.064 | 0.099 |

Conclusion

Based on the above results, it is concluded that papaya can be processed into candy with improved nutritional quality. The papaya candy prepared from treatment T₄ Sugar 70%+ Ginger 1.5%. was found to be the best which comprised of Total soluble solid (⁰Brix), pH, Acidity (%), Ascorbic acid (mg/100g), Reducing sugar (%), Non-Reducing sugar (%), Total sugar (%) and the highest score for Colour score, Texture score, Flavour score, Taste score and Overall acceptability score with The maximum B:C ratio was observed in T₉ Sugar 70%+Rose syrup 1.0%.

Comment [KK26]: Upon reviewing this section, it is evident that it lacks valuable and informative content. Despite reading through it, no significant information could be extracted.

REFERENCES

- Acharya GC, Mohanty P, Kar DS, Lenka J and Pattanaik K 2022. Value addition in woodapple (*Limonia acidissima* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2022; 11(1): 1673-1676.
- Attri, S., Dtiman, A. K., Kaushal, M. and Sharma R. 2014. Development and storage stability of Papaya (*Cacica papaya* L) toffee and leather. *International Journal of Farm Sciences*, 4(3): 117-125.
- Chandu, N. and Prasad, M., 2006. Development of guava candies. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 43(2): 210-212.
- Kannaujiya Ankit, DS Bunkar, DC Rai, Uday Pratap Singh and Vikas Patel (2018). Process optimization for the development of papaya candy and its shelf-life evaluation. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2018; 7(4): 80-85.
- Khapre, A. P. 2010. Standardization of technology for development of guava – soybean toffee as a protein enriched product. *Food Science Research Journal*, 1(2): 154- 156.
- Nilam, V. Patel, Mayani J.M., Dev Raj and T.R. 2014. Ahlawat Standardization of Technology for Preparation of Candy from Ripe Papaya (*Carica papaya* Linn.) Fruits. *Biological Forum – An International Journal (SI-AAEBSSD-2021)* 13(3b): 139-143.

- Orrego, C. E., Salgado, N. and Botero, C. A. 2014.** Developments and trends in fruit bar production and characterization. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, **54**: 84-97.
- Parle, M. and Gurditta.,** Basketful benefits of papaya. *International research journal of pharmacy*, **2(7)**: 6-12 (2011).
- Pushpa, G., Rajkumar, P., Garipey, Y. and Raghavan, G. S. V. 2006.** Microwave drying of enriched mango fruit leather. Canadian Society for Bioengineering Annual Conference, pp 206-208.
- Rahul. 2017.** *Development and evaluation of cheese and toffee from guava-jamun blends.* Doctoral dissertation, Choudhry Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar, India.
- Safdar, M. N., Mumtaz, A., Hameed, T., Siddiqui, N., Khalil, S. and Amjad, Y. 2012.** Storage studies of jam prepared from different mango varieties. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, **11(7)**: 653-659.
- Sandhu, K. S., M. Singh and P. Ahluwalia, 2001.** Studies on processing of guava into pulp and guava leather. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **38**: 622-624.
- Shabi, M., Singh, D., Prasad, V. M. and Deepanshu. 2018.** Studies on value addition of guava cheese. *The Allahabad farmer*, **74(1)**: 47-53.
- Sharma, D. S. 2014.** Quality evaluation and storage stability of jamun mango blended jam. *The Bioscan*, **9(3)**:953-957.
- Verma Meena, Mamta Jaiswal, Kiran Agrahari and Archana Singh (2017).** Standardization and development of papaya based products. *International Journal of Home Science* 2017; **3(1)**: 296-299.
- Wahane, P. R., Talib, M. I. and Parate, V. R. 2015.** Preparation of honey based high calorie cum fibre passion fruit-dry apricot-flaxseed bar. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*, **4(11)**: 10-14.
- Zaman W, Biswas SK, Helali MOH, Ibrahim M and Hassan P 2006.** Physicochemical composition of four papaya varieties grown at Rajshahi. *J. Bio-Sci.* **14**: 83-86.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

