

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_102128</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study the physio-chemical properties of value added papaya candy from different herbal extracts in term of quality and shelf life.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript's title contains grammatical errors and has failed to capture the attention of readers. Therefore, it is advisable to modify the title to improve its effectiveness.  <b>“Evaluating the Physicochemical Characteristics and Shelf Life of Value-Added Papaya Candy infused with Herbal Extracts”</b>  or <b>“Evaluating the Physicochemical Characteristics of Value-Added Papaya Candy Infused with Nutritious Herbal Extracts for Improved Quality and Prolonged Shelf Life”</b></p> <p>The abstract needs to be revised. While revising, keep in mind that it should highlight background, processing conditions and parameters along with findings in a precise way. It is also suggested to add future prospect/ potential application of this study at the end of abstract.</p> <p>Some of sentences are repetitive and grammatically incorrect. Literature studies related to formulation of fruit candies are missing. Authors are advised to consult some well written and relevant research articles to gain insight into effectively structuring of material &amp; methods as well as results &amp; discussion sections. The data needs to be analysed through appropriate statistical software and should be presented in data tables and graphs, whichever deems suitable. The findings must be well supported with relevant literature studies.</p> <p>It is strongly recommended that the authors refer to the journal's guidelines before submitting the manuscript, as discrepancies have been observed in the citation of literature within the text and in the reference section. Additionally, it is essential to support the study with a more extensive and relevant body of literature. By adhering to the journal's guidelines and incorporating pertinent references, the manuscript will gain credibility and effectively situate the research within the existing scientific knowledge.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The article's language and English quality fall below the standards required for scholarly communications. It contains frequent grammatical errors, lacks proper content structure, and exhibits redundancy. These issues need to be addressed to ensure the article meets the necessary standards for academic dissemination.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kulwinder Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Punjab Agricultural University, India</b>