

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101785
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different fertility levels on growth and production potential of rice genotypes
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 1. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 2. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 3. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 4. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, as rice is very important for nutrition and to grow it is scientifically important. Yes yes yes yes, it is rather correct. Yes, the references are sufficient. Maybe it is possible to include the most recent – from 2016?	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To tell the truth, the language quality is not high enough, but it could be quite possible for publication after the corrections made.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript deserves to be published, although it is relatively robust, simple, but it is necessary to know. It concludes an important part in our knowledge about one of the most significant crops – rice.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuliya Preobrazhenskaya
Department, University & Country	Yanka Kupala State University of Grodno, Belarus