

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_101553</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Biocapsule and Nano micronutrients on Growth, Quality and Yield of Lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i>).</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	-The idea of research is in line with the modern trend in the use of nano fertilizers. -The title of the article is suitable. -The abstract is somewhat comprehensive. - The subsections and structure of the manuscript needs several modifications. - The manuscript is scientifically correct. - The references are insufficient - References are insufficient and most of them are not found in the manuscript and are not disciplined at all. -- The manuscript in general needs many modifications, both in how the results are written and presented in tables. -The method used in designing the experiment, as well as the method of analysing the data, is not explained in the section of materials and methods. -The researcher did not explain how to take samples, measurements and chemical analysis methods used. -There are no headlines when listing the results. -There is absolutely no discussion of the results obtained. -The manuscript needs to be rewritten again with some detail and accuracy	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sameh Abdel-Moneim Mohamed Moussa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Horticulture Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt</b>