

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102659</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance Assessment for Grain Yield and its Accrediting Traits in Maize (Zea mays L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes it is</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, it is</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, it is</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, they are</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, I do think</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, they are. But please check again the title of article, particularly the article written by Singh, Prasad, and Chaudhary (1985).</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In Materials and Method: Section of Statistical Analysis, It is better to write down the range of Heritability percentage value (low, medium, and high or very high) whose authors of Singh, Prasad, and Chaudhary (1985)</li> <li>- In Result and Discussion: it is recommended not to include Fig 1 (Graphical representation of coefficient of variation..... because this Fig is the same as Table 3 (Coefficient of variation, heritability, .....))</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kukuh Setiawan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lampung, Indonesia</b>