

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101357
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS IN RAINWATER FROM LAGOS METROPOLIS AND SUBURBS TO ASCERTAIN POLLUTION LEVELS.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</b></p> <p><b>It is suitable but I suggest the title as ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS IN RAINWATER FROM METROPOLIS AND SUBURBS, LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA to capture the state and the country. "To ascertain pollution levels" could be placed in Paragraph 4.</b></p> <p><b>It is very comprehensive.</b></p> <p><b>The subsections are not numbered, the author needs to consult the Journals template to verify and do the needful.</b></p> <p><b>It is absolutely scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>They are sufficient and good numbers are recent.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Very vast well researched and analysed work.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Simon Stephen Mshelia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Gashua, Nigeria</b>