

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_101538
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Reporting Appraisal of Notifiable Infectious disease: A systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. COVID 19 and URI infections very common in India to children to geriatric</p> <p>Yes . short , clear and complete.</p> <p>Yes. Covered all subpoints/ headings with adequate and relevant content.</p> <p>Yes. Detail method of use of different database like crocaine..... , Described detail process of article selection. Listed in propre way. Prizama method of analysis of review of article.</p> <p>Discussion, conclusion is mentioned.</p> <p>Yes. Scientifically and logically correct.</p> <p>Yer. References are recent, relevant and adequate.</p> <p>Review was on important topic, detail steps explained well . DTP done.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Sound English content and grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Add – conflict of interest, acknowledgement, funding information.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Malhar Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	BVDU, CON, India