

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_102301
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL PROFILE, SEVERITY AND INTENSIVE CARE NEEDS OF CHILDREN ADMITTED WITH RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRAL INFECTIONS IN PICU: POST COVID TREND
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript is important for making policies regarding controlling RSV infections among children. As author/s stated that RSV infections are in rise following covid restrictions.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The methodology section is not organized properly, no details about laboratory diagnosis of the virus and patients, no statistical methods were mentioned.</b></p> <p>4. <b>The introduction is not written properly, no subsections (background, problem statement, proposed solution, literature reviews, and aim) were mentioned, No references. The methods are neither clear nor sub sectioned properly, laboratory records for patients were not included, no details regarding specimens' collection, and diagnosis, intensive care requirements are not mentioned, no statistical methods were mentioned. The results are not clearly sub sectioned into clinical profiles, severity of infections, and patient's outcomes. The discussion is also not written well, the author/s stated the aim and severity of infection support in first paragraph, then discussion of children's age impacts and clinical profiles of patients in the second, which should be discussed first, in the upcoming paragraphs, author/s discussed the virus epidemiology which were not mentioned in the methods and the results, then in the last paragraph, the author again discussed the severity outcomes which were discussed in lines 103-111. The references are not cited properly using two referencing styles.</b></p> <p>5. <b>The methods are not clearly given details for meeting study's objectives and replication.</b></p> <p>6. <b>No. required references are mentioned in the comments.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Not correct English language was used in the manuscript.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Non	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahraa Kamaz
Department, University & Country	Iraq