

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_102301
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL PROFILE, SEVERITY AND INTENSIVE CARE NEEDS OF CHILDREN ADMITTED WITH RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRAL INFECTIONS IN PICU: POST COVID TREND
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1-Yas , the manuscript is important for scientific community (This article is important as a source for future studies regarding the impact of the COVID virus on children )</p> <p>2- Yas, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3-Yas, the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4- Yas, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5-Yas, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6-Yas, the references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>There are some notes that I would like to mention to enhance the review article</p> <p>1-in MATERIAL AND METHODS: Made. Precaution , decreased , observed , specimens, with variations include</p> <p>2- in DISCUSSION : the children aged less than 2 years , supportive treatment is preferred in rsv infection , infants which are, shortness of breath , shortness of breath</p> <p>3- in CONCLUSION: considered as a major concern, infants, are targeted , are targeted</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kawther A.Abdualhameed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Baghdad, Iraq</b>