

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_101689
Title of the Manuscript:	"From Silence to Empowerment: Addressing Sexual Challenges in Rural Karachi, Pakistan with the Revolutionary PLISSIT Model"
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. I believe that "Research Protocols" is a modality accepted by the journal for publication.</li> <li>3. According to international norms, this type of study must be approved by the Research Ethics Committee, and it is mandatory to present the number of the approval opinion.</li> <li>4. Greater rigor is needed in scientific writing and all references must be cited in the text must be contained in the Reference list and this did not happen in the proposed project.</li> <li>5. The theme proposed in the study is very important, as it has social and scientific relevance.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Needs small adjustments	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> It is necessary to submit it to the Research Ethics Committee in the country where the study will be carried out.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Valmin Ramos Da Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Estadual De Minas Gerais, Brazil</b>