

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_101546
Title of the Manuscript:	Defense Budget and Response of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Nigeria: Co-integration and VECM Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is very much suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is an interesting study. The paper is generally well written and structured. The information presented represents valuable information regarding the Defense Budget and Response of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Nigeria: Co-integration and VECM Approach. The methods are generally appropriate. The authors make a systematic contribution to the research literature in this area of investigation. Overall, this is a high quality manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajinder Kumar Gupta
Department, University & Country	R. K. Arya College, India