

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_102535
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF EXTENSION ADVISORY SERVICES IN CONTRACT AND NON-CONTRACT BROILER FARMING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is based on a study in Poultry sector which examines the Effectiveness of Extension service to the sector. Poultry is very important occupation which has been adopted by many farmers and the value chain is very important in the Economy. Role of extension is an important link in the Production value chain.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable for the study</p> <p>3. Abstract can include strategies for improving the Extension services. Also present the total production and returns from this sector as a whole and from Karnataka (i.e.) Statistics for highlighting the importance of the subject.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>More discussion has to be included to support the author views, Also kindly elaborately write the conclusions bringing out the bottlenecks, policy reforms to be made in the Sector and how to strengthen the Extension services to effectively reach out to the farmers. Also compare and contrast the contract and noncontract services and discuss the merits of each systems.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V Geethalakahmi
Department, University & Country	ICAR, Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, India