

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102614</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of selected biopesticides with Chlorantraniliprole against chickpea pod borer [Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner)] at prayagraj</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Partially</p> <p>Needs amendment</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More ref need to be cited</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Needs to improve	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no need to mention the place of study in the title.</li> <li>• No need to write the title in the abstract</li> <li>• Details about the larvicidal activity of selected pesticide are missing in the intro.</li> <li>• The way of mentioning the ref in MS is inappropriate especially in the intro.</li> <li>• Discussion need to be better.</li> <li>• Photograph supplementing the study and the data is missing.</li> <li>• No.of ref cited as per the present study is very less.</li> <li>• English needs to be improved.</li> </ul> <p>Overall, revision needed.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharad Vats
Department, University & Country	Banasthali Vidyapith, India