

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102614
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of selected biopesticides with Chlorantraniliprole against chickpea pod borer [ <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner)] at prayagraj
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment:	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	<p>It is better to rewrite the manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In abstract, the title has been repeated and should be deleted.</li> <li>- Add some discussion at the end of abstract.</li> <li>- Most part of the introduction is about chickpea, it is better to focus more on the studied pest and the importance of this research.</li> <li>- Methods, result and conclusion are very short.</li> <li>- There is some comments in the attached manuscript, please pay attention</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, the introducing of the new and effective insecticides is very important to control of the pod borer <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>.</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. No. There are some comments in the attached manuscript.</li> <li>4. No, it is not very appropriate. There is a lot of grammar and scientific mistakes.</li> <li>5. Yes but need to be rewrite.</li> <li>6. Yes</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not bad, need to work.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saeedeh Javar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Golestan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Iran</b>