

Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit yield and fruit quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali

Abstract

An experiment on “Effect of Plant growth regulators on fruit yield and fruit quality of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali” was conducted at the research plot, College of Horticulture, Chiplima during the year 2020-21 by using Randomized Block Design with three replications and ten treatments. Three concentrations of NAA i.e. 10, 20 and 30 ppm, three concentrations of GA₃ i.e. 10, 20 and 30 ppm and three concentrations of 2,4-D i.e. 10, 20 and 30 ppm were applied at pea and marble stage of fruit. Research work was held on 07 years old plants of mango cv. Amrapali. Highest fruit weight (263.21g), and total yield of 18.34 kg per plant were recorded under T₇. The minimum yield (4.41 kg per plant) was recorded under T₁ (Control). The highest number of fruits per plant (69.67) and fruit yield (18.34 kg per plant) was recorded under treatment T₇ (30 ppm foliar application of GA₃). The maximum TSS: acid ratio (115.81) was obtained with T₁₀ (30 ppm concentration of 2,4-D) which was known to be superior from all other treatments. The minimum TSS: acid ratio (55.00) was estimated under T₁. Ascorbic acid content of mango cv. Amrapali ranged from 39.34 mg/100g (T₁₀) to 55.97 mg/100g (T₁). The highest total sugar content (14.99 %) was estimated in T₁₀ (2,4-D at 20 ppm) while the lowest total sugar content (10.42 %) was estimated in T₁ (Control). T₁₀ (30 ppm foliar applications of 2,4-D) showed maximum ascorbic acid content (55.97 mg/100g), while lowest (39.34 mg/100 g) was recorded under T₁ (Control). It is concluded that the foliar application of 30 ppm GA₃ (Gibberellic acid) and 30 ppm 2,4-D were found to be optimum concentrations which showed best results by increasing yield and fruit quality of mango cv. Amrapali.

Key words: Mango, Amrapali, yield, quality

Introduction

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) belongs to Anacardiaceae family, is cultivated in the Indian subcontinent for well over 4000 years (De Candolle, 1904) and has been recognized as the ‘King of fruits’. India is the major producer in the world with an area of 2.262 Million hectares with annual production 19.686 Million tonnes and productivity of 8.7 Metric tonnes/ha. It is an excellent source of vitamin A (4800 I.U.) and vitamin C (13 mg/100mg) and the fruit is utilized at all stages of its development both in its immature and mature or ripe stage and can also be processed into products such as jam, juice, cut fresh fruit, dried chips, fruit concentrate and fruit leather etc. Amrapali is a mango hybrid (Dashehari X Neelum), gaining popularity for its dwarf stature and regular bearing habit. Fruits are green, apricot yellow, medium sized, sweet in taste with high T.S.S. The soil and climate of Odisha is very much congenial for cultivation of mango. It enjoys the climate advantage of growing of both North and South Indian varieties successfully. More than 300 mango varieties exist in the state of Odisha. One of the most important bottleneck in the production of mango is the heavy drop of fruits (99.9%) during different developmental stages.

There are several causes of fruit drop including unfavourable climatic conditions, poor fruit set, competition between developing fruitlets, drought or lack of irrigation, nutrient deficiency,

incidence of serious pests and diseases (Majumder and Sharma, 1990). Foliar sprays of growth regulators (NAA, GA₃ and CPPU) could be used as one of these horticultural practices that reduce fruit drop and enhance the yield. The plant growth regulators positively affected yield attributing properties like fruit size and fruit weight of mango. The yield contributing characters due to foliar application of 35 ppm GA₃ at the pea and marble stages of fruit production increased fruit retention, decreased fruit drop, and resulted in the highest number of fruits and total yield (Sahu *et al.*, 2020). Fruit quality at harvest depends on the combined effect of energy, water and flow in and out of the fruit. The fruit quality can be improved by application of various chemicals and plant growth regulator at certain period before harvesting of fruits for proper maintaining the balance of nutrient in the fruit so that fruit quality and shelf life could be maintained for longer duration after harvest (Bisen and Thakur, 2012).

To achieve higher yield and quality of mango so many factors are responsible viz. TSS, Acidity, Sugars, Ascorbic acid, pulp percentage, Shelf life etc. All these attributes in response to so many pre harvest practices, the application of plant growth regulator play important role, but the exact information about the specific plant growth regulator and its concentration is lacking. The information about effect of plant growth regulators on enhancing fruit yield, fruit quality and shelf life of Amrapali variety of mango grown in West Central Table Land Zone of Odisha is meager. Looking at all the above aspects, the present investigation was taken up to study the ‘Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit yield, fruit quality and shelf-life of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali’ at Research plot, College of Horticulture, Chiplima

Materials and methods

The present investigation on “Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit yield, fruit quality of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali” was conducted at the research plot, College of Horticulture, Chiplima, Sambalpur, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology which is situated about 20 kms away from famous Hirakud Dam during the year 2020-2021. It lies in between 20° 21' N latitude and 80° 55' longitudes and has altitude of 155 m (above mean sea level). The soil of the experimental site is sandy loam having pH (5.22), electrical conductivity (0.11 dS/m) and organic carbon content of 0.65%. The climate of the site is characterized by warm and semi dry with hot and dry summer and mild winter. The trial was conducted in mango var. Amrapali with 10 treatments replicated thrice in randomized block design. The plants were spaced at 5m X 5m. The treatment details are : T₁ Control (Water spray), T₂ NAA(10 ppm), T₃ NAA(20 ppm), T₄ NAA(30 ppm), T₅GA₃(10 ppm), T₆ GA₃(20 ppm), T₇ GA₃(30 ppm), T₈ 2,4-D (10 ppm), T₉ 2,4-D (20 ppm) and T₁₀ 2,4-D (30 ppm).

For the preparation of the stock solution, an electronic balance was taken and the necessary amount of GA₃, NAA, and 2,4-D was weighed with the aid of the electronic balance. The desired amount of 2,4-D and GA₃ were dissolved in 10 ml of 99 percent absolute ethyl alcohol, but NAA was dissolved in a few drops of NH₄OH to prevent precipitation. The final volume was then increased to 1 litre by adding distil water. This solution was used as a stock solution and desired quantities were taken from it, and concentration was achieved by adding distilled water. Treatment wise the plant growth regulators were sprayed twice on 2nd March and 1st April of 2021 from 9.00 a.m. to 2.00 p.m. At the pea stage and marble stage of fruit growth, different concentrations of NAA, GA₃ and 2,4-D were sprayed. The solutions were sprayed on the fruit and foliage as per their recommendation. Intercultural operations were carried out during field preparation. All weeds around the plant were cleared by hand weeding and shallow hoeing in the

pre monsoon season and it was done again in the months of September and October (post monsoon season). The plants were irrigated during fruit development stage at regular interval.

The mature uniform sized and fresh mango fruits of Amrapali per tree were harvested starting from last week of May to 2nd week of June. At each harvest, the total number of fruits per plant was counted. After the completion of harvesting, the total number of fruits per plant was calculated. The weight of fruits per plant was reported separately at each harvest. The total weight of harvested fruit was then measured in kilogram at the final harvest. The yield was calculated by multiplying the number of fruits per plant with average fruit weight and expressed as kg per plant. The weight of pulp was determined by subtracting the weight of the stone and peel from the weight of the whole fruits. The data pertaining to fruit size, fruit weight, fruit volume, pulp weight, peel weight, stone weight, TSS, sugars, acidity, ascorbic acid and shelf-life recorded during the experiment were statistically analyzed and presented with tabulation and graphical representation.

The Total soluble solid was determined using a digital refractometer and expressed in terms of degree Brix (^o Brix). The titratable acidity and reducing sugar present was calculated using the following formula given by (Ranganna, 1977). The ascorbic acid content was measured by the standard method (A.O.A.C., 1990). The shelf life of ten fruits per treatment was recorded by keeping the fruits at room temperature i.e. ambient storage condition. Shelf life of fruit was considered as number of days from harvesting to marketable fruits or optimum eating stage (till the decaying of fruits started). The statistical analysis of the data recorded was done according to the standard procedures given for Randomized Block Design by Panse and Sukhatme (1989).

Results and Discussion

After application of different concentrations of plant growth regulators at pea and marble stage of fruit growth and development, observations recorded on number of fruits per plant, fruit yield, physico-chemical characteristics and shelf-life at 30, 60 and 90 days after spraying are recorded. The results revealed that there were significant variation with respect to various yield and yield attributing parameters during the study period (Table-1). The fruit size (fruit length of 11.51 cm and fruit breadth of 7.20 cm) was observed highest in T₇ (GA₃ at 30 ppm) and lowest (fruit length of 9.21 cm and fruit breadth of 5.97 cm) in T₁ (Control). The probable reason might be due to the fact that exogenous application of GA₃ increases cell size of mesocarp, which also accelerated the fruit growth and fruit size. GA₃ increases cell plasticity which caused cell elongation by loosening of cell wall and enlargement of vacuoles. This result corroborate the findings of Vishwakarma *et al.* (2022) who obtained maximum fruit size in mango cv. Amrapali with application of GA @25 mg/l, Moneruzzaman *et al.* (2011) who obtained higher fruit size in Wax apple and Singh *et al.* (2011) and Debnath *et al.* (2011) who noticed enhanced fruit size in Phalsa due to application of GA₃ at 20 ppm.

Among the various concentrations of different plant growth regulators, foliar application of (30 ppm of GA₃) i.e. T₇ gave maximum number of fruits per plant (69.67) while lowest number of fruits per plant (21.67) was obtained in T₁ (Control) (Table-1).. GA₃ increases number of fruits by inhibiting vegetative growth and enhancing better flowering, fruit set and ultimately maximize fruit retention and also plays an effective role in translocating extra metabolites towards the reproductive parts or sink i.e. fruits. The observations were similar with the observations of Osama *et al.* (2014) and Kulkarni *et al.* (2017) in mango. The fruit yield was

enhanced by all concentrations of different plant growth regulators compared with control. The treatment T₇ (30 ppm foliar application of GA₃) showed maximum yield (18.34 kg/plant) of fruits, whereas minimum fruit yield (4.41 kg/plant) was obtained in T₁ (Control). The significant increase in fruit yield is a cumulative effect of increase in number of fruits because of reduction in fruit drop and higher fruit weight by the direct and indirect effect of foliar application GA₃. The plant growth regulators positively affected yield attributing properties like fruit retention, fruit size and fruit weight of mango cv. Amrapali which might be responsible for enhanced yield. Foliar spray of GA₃ might also have affected the physiological processes resulting into higher production of mango. These results are in conformity with the finding of Bezerra *et al.* (2021), Nkansh (2012) and Ghosh (2016) and Dheeraj *et al.* (2016) in mango

Table-1: Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit yield, fruit size and specific gravity of Mango cv. Amrapali

| Treatment | Fruit weight (g) | Number of harvested fruits per plant | Yield (Kg/Plant) | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit volume (ml) | Fruit breadth (cm) |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| T ₁ - Control | 202.77 | 21.67 | 4.41 | 9.21 | 194.66 | 5.97 |
| T ₂ - NAA(10 ppm) | 241.78 | 39.67 | 9.60 | 10.43 | 233.00 | 6.51 |
| T ₃ - NAA(20 ppm) | 213.22 | 68.33 | 14.57 | 10.66 | 205.00 | 6.64 |
| T ₄ -NAA(30 ppm) | 236.84 | 64.67 | 15.31 | 11.34 | 228.48 | 6.76 |
| T ₅ -GA ₃ (10 ppm) | 204.37 | 31.67 | 6.47 | 10.74 | 197.40 | 6.54 |
| T ₆ -GA ₃ (20 ppm) | 258.45 | 67.00 | 17.31 | 11.42 | 249.66 | 7.12 |
| T ₇ -GA ₃ (30 ppm) | 263.21 | 69.67 | 18.34 | 11.51 | 256.32 | 7.20 |
| T ₈ -2,4-D (10 ppm) | 206.08 | 59.33 | 12.22 | 10.17 | 199.62 | 6.38 |
| T ₉ -2,4-D (20 ppm) | 225.67 | 63.33 | 15.64 | 10.02 | 219.33 | 6.41 |
| T ₁₀ -2,4-D (30 ppm) | 232.98 | 69.00 | 16.07 | 10.85 | 227.67 | 6.28 |
| SE(m) ± | 9.52 | 9.90 | 2.59 | 0.45 | 9.16 | 0.23 |
| C.D. at 5 % | 27.55 | 28.64 | 7.49 | 1.31 | 26.50 | 0.67 |

The mango plant sprayed with various concentrations of plant growth regulators had increased the fruit weight and fruit volume as compared to control. Maximum fruit weight (263.21 g) and fruit volume (256.32 ml) were obtained under T₇ (30 ppm foliar application of GA₃) while lowest fruit weight (202.77 g) and fruit volume (194.66 ml) were obtained under T₁ (Control). Any increase in length, and breadth of the fruit brought a corresponding increase in weight of fruit. This result accepted the hypothesis that, the fruit weight is a function of length and fruit breadth of fruit. The possible explanation for increase in fruit size and fruit weight was also due to faster movement of simple sugars into fruit and involvement in cell expansion (Brahmachari *et al.*, 1997). The appropriate reason behind the increased fruit weight and fruit volume might be due to accumulation of more sugar and water under the influence of exogenous application of growth promoting substances. The role of GA₃ was to multiply and to lengthen the meristem cells, which resulted in the increase of fruit volume. Similar observation was also reported by Kulkarni *et al.* (2017) who obtained higher fruit weight and fruit volume by foliar application of GA₃ as compared to control in mango.

The specific gravity (1.042) was recorded highest under T₁ (Control) and lowest (1.023) under T₁₀ (2,4-D at 30ppm). For judging maturity of mango fruits, specific gravity holds a good index. In the present investigation, specific gravity of mango fruit was not affected by various concentrations of plant growth regulators. Among the various treatments, there are no significant differences observed with respect to specific gravity in different cultivars of mango.

Table-2: Effect of plant growth regulators on stone weight, peel weight and pulp weight of Mango cv. Amrapali

| Treatments | Stone weight (g) | Peel weight (g) | Pulp weight(g) | Stone (%) | Peel (%) | Pulp (%) |
|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| T ₁ - Control(Water spray) | 34.04 | 45.55 | 123.19 | 16.76 | 22.47 | 60.77 |
| T ₂ - NAA(10 ppm) | 37.30 | 40.06 | 164.41 | 15.48 | 16.48 | 68.04 |
| T ₃ - NAA(20 ppm) | 34.28 | 35.73 | 143.21 | 16.06 | 16.70 | 67.24 |
| T ₄ -NAA(30 ppm) | 34.12 | 36.46 | 166.27 | 14.44 | 15.44 | 70.12 |
| T ₅ -GA ₃ (10 ppm) | 30.64 | 40.81 | 132.92 | 15.47 | 19.79 | 64.75 |
| T ₆ -GA ₃ (20 ppm) | 41.42 | 41.11 | 175.92 | 15.99 | 15.99 | 68.02 |
| T ₇ -GA ₃ (30 ppm) | 35.43 | 55.13 | 172.65 | 13.62 | 15.84 | 70.54 |
| T ₈ -2,4-D (10 ppm) | 32.94 | 34.82 | 138.31 | 15.98 | 16.93 | 67.09 |
| T ₉ -2,4-D (20 ppm) | 32.81 | 39.34 | 153.52 | 14.58 | 17.30 | 68.12 |
| T ₁₀ -2,4-D (30 ppm) | 31.65 | 36.88 | 164.44 | 13.50 | 20.99 | 65.52 |
| SE(m) ± | NS | 3.74 | 6.41 | NS | 1.14 | 1.60 |
| C.D. at 5 % | NS | 10.82 | 18.53 | NS | 3.31 | 4.63 |

The treatment T₇ (GA₃ at 30ppm) exhibited maximum average peel weight (55.13 g), while lowest average weight of peel (34.82 g) was obtained under T₈ (10 ppm foliar application of 2,4-D) as evident from the data depicted in Table-2. The data on average peel weight revealed that foliar application of plant growth regulators showed significant effect on peel weight of mango fruit. The results revealed that the stone weight of fruit was not significantly influenced with various concentrations of the plant growth regulators. The T₇ (GA₃ at 30 ppm) represented maximum stone weight, while minimum stone weight was found under T₅ (GA₃ at 10 ppm). The increase in peel weight could be attributed to the fact that enhancement of fruit size might resulted in increase in peel weight. It is in conformity with the results of Shrivastava and Jain (2006) and Merwad *et al.* (2016) in mango. The pulp weight was observed to be highest (175.92 g) in T₇ i.e. with the application of 30 ppm GA₃, while lowest pulp weight (123.19 g) was obtained in T₁ (Control). Increased pulp content of fruit might be due to higher accumulation and translocation of extra metabolites from other part of the plants towards developing fruits. However, Ruby *et al.* (2004) obtained maximum pulp weight by foliar application of GA₃ at 100 ppm. Gibberelic acid stimulated the functioning of a number of enzymes in the physiological process which probably caused an increase in pulp weight. The results were also in accordance with the findings of Shrivastava and Jain (2006) in mango and Meena *et al.* (2014) in aonla.

Quality parameters

Fruit quality is mainly judged by the balance between total soluble solids and acidity present in the fruit. Amongst the different treatments, the maximum total soluble solids (18.53°) was found in T₁₀ (30 ppm 2,4-D) in comparison to rest of treatments and control.(Table-3). Total soluble solids (TSS) determine the quality and consumer preference. The enhancement in quality

of fruit could be due to the catalytic action of 2,4-D particularly at higher concentration. The foliar application of plant growth regulators like 2,4-D quickly increased the uptake of macronutrients in the tissues and parts of the mango plants, decreased the nutritional deficiencies and thereby improved the fruit quality. The increase in TSS content of fruits might be due to the fact that the application of plant growth regulators enhances the hydrolysis of polysaccharides into soluble solids and also increased mobilization of carbohydrate from source to sink. By foliar applications of plant growth regulators the activity of cytoplasmic sucrose phosphate synthase, a key enzyme regulating the pool size of sucrose in the leaf shown to be stimulated and also promotes phloem loading. Similar result pertaining to higher TSS due to application of plant growth regulators like 2,4-D were also obtained by Taduri *et al.* (2017) and Parauha (2019) in Amrapali variety of mango.

The highest acidity (0.26%) content was registered under T₁ (Control) and minimum acidity (0.16%) was observed under T₁₀ (30ppm foliar application of 2,4-D). The data revealed that the foliar application of plant growth regulators significantly influenced the acidity percentage of mango fruit. Transformation of organic acids to sugars is one of the reasons for decrease in acidity during fruit maturity and ripening (Badhe *et al.*, 2007). Present investigation showed that there was a general trend of decreasing acidity percentage in various concentrations of plant growth regulators as compared to control. As mango is a climacteric fruit, acidity percentage of mango decreases due to conversion of acid into sugar and their utilization as respiratory substrate during the period of growth and development of fruit. This result is in conformity with the findings of Painkra (2008) who obtained minimum acidity with foliar application of 2,4-D at 10 ppm in mango var. Langra. The TSS: acid ratio plays an important role in determining the quality of the fruit. The TSS: acid ratio was recorded highest (115.81) in T₁₀ (2,4-D at 30 ppm) while it was lowest (55.00) in T₁ (Control). The highest TSS: acid ratio obtained under treatment T₁₀ is due to highest TSS (18.53 °Brix) and lowest acidity (0.16%) content. Higher TSS: acid ratio in fruit from 2,4-D treated plants is probably due to enhancement in the level of TSS and corresponding decrease in acidity content. Similar results were obtained by Sharma *et al.* (2005) in litchi. (Table-3)

Table-3: Effect of plant growth regulators chemical parameters on quality parameters in Mango cv. Amrapali

| Treatment | TSS (°Brix) | Acidity (%) | TSS: acid ratio | Total sugar (%) | Reducing sugar (%) | Non-reducing sugar (%) | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| T ₁ - Control | 14.30 | 0.26 | 55.00 | 10.42 | 4.91 | 5.23 | 39.34 |
| T ₂ - NAA(10 ppm) | 15.67 | 0.19 | 82.47 | 11.66 | 4.93 | 6.39 | 44.30 |
| T ₃ - NAA(20 ppm) | 14.70 | 0.23 | 63.91 | 10.59 | 5.03 | 5.29 | 41.22 |
| T ₄ -NAA(30 ppm) | 16.07 | 0.20 | 80.35 | 12.19 | 5.80 | 6.08 | 42.76 |
| T ₅ -GA ₃ (10 ppm) | 14.90 | 0.24 | 62.08 | 11.20 | 4.97 | 5.91 | 43.19 |
| T ₆ -GA ₃ (20 ppm) | 17.77 | 0.21 | 84.61 | 13.88 | 5.32 | 8.13 | 43.95 |
| T ₇ -GA ₃ (30 ppm) | 18.50 | 0.17 | 108.82 | 14.81 | 6.18 | 8.19 | 47.54 |
| T ₈ -2,4-D (10 ppm) | 16.13 | 0.19 | 84.89 | 12.57 | 4.75 | 7.43 | 45.13 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| T ₉ -2,4-D (20 ppm) | 17.77 | 0.18 | 98.72 | 14.23 | 5.77 | 8.04 | 46.15 |
| T ₁₀ -2,4-D (30 ppm) | 18.53 | 0.16 | 115.81 | 14.99 | 6.35 | 8.21 | 55.97 |
| SE(m) ± | 0.76 | 0.02 | 5.21 | 0.68 | NS | 0.57 | 1.25 |
| C.D. at 5 % | 2.21 | 0.04 | 15.07 | 1.96 | NS | 1.66 | 3.62 |

The total sugar content was enhanced by various concentrations of plant growth hormones as compared to T₁ (Control). The highest total sugar content (14.99 %) was estimated in T₁₀ (2,4-D at 20 ppm) while the lowest total sugar content (10.42 %) was estimated in T₁ (Control). Treatments like T₇ (14.81 %), T₉ (14.23 %) and T₆ (13.88 %) were found to be significantly at par with T₁₀ with respect to total sugar content. The sugar content might be increased due to degradation of polysaccharides into simple sugars by metabolic activities, conversion of organic acids into sugars, and loss of moisture which subsequently increases total soluble solids. This is in consonance with the findings of Nawaj *et al.* (2008) who reported higher total sugar, reducing and non-reducing sugar content in Kinnow mandarin with foliar spray of 2,4-D at 10 ppm. The reducing sugar content was not significantly enhanced by various concentrations of plant growth regulators as compared to T₁ (Control).

Present investigations showed that, T₁₀ (30 ppm foliar applications of 2,4-D) showed maximum ascorbic acid content (55.97 mg/100g), while lowest (39.34 mg/100 g) was recorded under T₁ (Control). Increased ascorbic acid content might be due to synthesis of glucose-6-phosphate throughout the growth and development of fruits, which is known as the precursor of vitamin C. However, Wahdan *et al.* (2011) obtained higher ascorbic acid content with application of 40 ppm GA₃ in mango cv. Succary Abiad.

Conclusion:

The foliar application of 30 ppm GA₃ at pea and marble stage of mango fruit significantly enhanced the number fruits per plant and thereby maximize the total fruit yield. The average fruit size, average fruit weight, peel weight, pulp weight and fruit volume of mango cv. Amrapali were also observed to be enhanced by foliar application of GA₃ at 30 ppm. Different fruit quality parameters like total soluble solids (TSS), reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, total sugar, TSS: acid ratio and ascorbic acid content were enhanced with foliar application of 30 ppm 2,4-D whereas, acidity of fruit was found to be minimum with the spray of 30 ppm 2,4-D. By all investigations it can be concluded that the foliar application of 30 ppm GA₃ (Gibberellic acid) and 30 ppm 2,4-D (2,4 dichlorophenoxy acetic acid) were found to be optimum concentrations which showed best results by increasing yield and fruit quality of mango cv. Amrapali. This result in accordance with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2018) who reported that foliar application of GA₃ affected the performance of the trees by amending their flowering, fruit yield & quality of mango. Experiments were conducted to check the fruit quality, change in flowering pattern and fruit set of mango trees. Basically GA₃ at a concentration of 10-75 ppm increases the fruit set, respectively.

References:

- A.O.A.C. 2000. Official methods of analytical chemist, International, 17th Edition. Washington D.C.
- Bezerra JB, Jesus PR and Souza ID. 2021. Plant regulators on the growth, quality and production of 'Tommy Atkins' mango fruits. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, **43**(3): e-546.

- Bisen S and Thakur RS. 2012. Beneficial effects of pre-harvest application calcium nitrate and gibberellic acid on storage behaviour of guava fruits. *Jawahar Lal Nehru Krishi vishwa Vidyalaya Research Journal*, **46**(3): 322-327.
- Brahmachari VS, Mandal AK, Rani R and Kumar R. 1997. Effect of growth substances on flowering and fruiting characters of Sardar guava (*Psidium gajjava* L.). *Horticulture Journal*. **9** (1):1-7.
- Candolle De. 1904. Origin of cultivated plants. *Vegal Paul Trench and company London*. pp: 1-67.
- Debnath A, Vanajalatha K, Momin U & Reddy M. 2011. Effect of NAA, GA₃, kinetin and ethrel on yield and quality in phalsa (*Grewia subinaequalis*). *Asian Journal of Horticulture*, **6**(2): 474-477.
- Dheeraj G, Bhagwan A, Rajkumar M and Shridhar D. 2016. Studies on the effect of combination of bioregulator and growth regulators on flowering and yield of mango. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Rescarch*, **6**(3):13-24.
- Gaikawad GB and Nainwad RV. 2022. Effect of plant growth regulators and chemicals on quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) var. Kesar. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(9): 2892-2893.
- Ghosh SN. 2016. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit retention and physico-chemical properties of mango cv. Amrapali grown in laterite soil at close spacing. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, **12**(3):83-85.
- Kulkarni SS, Patil SS and Magar SD. 2017. Effect of plant growth regulators on yield and quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Kesha. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6**(5): 2309-2313
- Meena D, Tiwari R and Singh OP. 2014. Effect of nutrient spray on growth, fruit yield and quality of aonla. *Annals of plant soil research*, **16**(3):242-245.
- Merwad MA, Eisa RA, Saleh and Maher saad M. 2016. The beneficial effect of NAA, Zn, Ca and B on fruiting, yield and fruit quality of alphonso mango trees. *International Journal of Chem Tech Research*, **9**(3):147-157.
- Moneruzzaman KM, Hossain ABMS, Normaniza O and Boyce AN. 2011. Growth, yield and quality responses to gibberellic acid (GA₃) of Wax apple *Syzygium samarangense* var. *Jambu air madu* fruits grown under field conditions. *African Journal of Biological science*, **10**(56):11911-11918.
- Nawaz MA, Ahmad W, Ahmad S and Khan MM. 2008. Role of growth regulators on preharvest fruit drop, yield and quality in Kinnow mandarin. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, **40**(5):1971-1981.
- Nkansah GO, Ofosu-Anim J and Mawuli A. 2012. Gibberellic Acid and Naphthalene Acetic Acid affect fruit retention, yield and quality of Keitt Mangoes in the Coastal Savanna Ecological Zone of Ghana. *American Journal of Plant Physiology*, **7**: 243-251

- Osama HME, Gammal, Amro SM, Salama, Saber MM and Bakeer. 2014. Effect of growth regulator, antioxidant and application date on fruiting and fruit quality of mango trees cv. Keitt. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, **8**(12): 87-95.
- Panse VC and Sukhatme PV. 1989. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp. 199-210.
- Parauha S and Pandey SK. 2019. Influence of plant growth regulators and nutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(2): 550-555.
- Patel U, Varu DK and Chaudhari J. 2015. Effect of pre-harvest spray of chemicals on shelflife and quality of mango cv. Kesar. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*, **10**(2): 187-193.
- Ranganna S. 1977. Manual of Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Products, 2nd Edition, Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi.
- Sahu C, Sharma HG and Panigrahi HK. 2020. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit retention and fruit drop of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Dashehari. *International Journal of Science and Research*, **9**(4): 1165-1167.
- Shainika SK and Tambe TB 2020. Effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on flower and fruit retention in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) CV. Kesar. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **8**(4): 3716-3719.
- Sharma R and Tiwari R. 2015. Effect of growth regulator sprays on growth, yield and quality of guava under malwa plateau conditions. *Annals of Plant and soil Research*, **17**(3): 287-291.
- Shrivastava DK and Jain DK. 2006. Effect of urea and GA₃ On physiochemical properties of mango cv. Langra during on year. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **19** (3): 754-756.
- Singh JP, Kumar S, Katiyar PN & Dwivedi AK. 2011. Effect of calcium nitrate, GA₃ and ethrel on fruiting, ripening and chemical traits of phalsa (*Grewia subinaequalis* Dc). *Annals of Horticulture*, **4**(1): 77-83.
- Sigh, S., Thakur, A. and Singh, S.K. (2018). Effect of foliar application of gibberellic acid on growth and development of mango (*Mangifera indica*). *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, **5** (4):161-166.
- Taduri M, Reddy NN, Lakshmi J and Joshi V. 2017. Effect of pre harvest treatments on shelf life and quality of mango cv. Amrapali. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **6**(7): 54-59.
- Vishwakarma PK, Masu PK and Singh S. 2022. Effect of various pre-harvest treatments on shelf life and morphological characteristics of fruits of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) var. 'Amrapali'. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, **17**(1):ISSN: 0973-354X.

Wahdan MT, Habib SE, Bassal MA and Qaoud EM. 2011. Effect of some chemicals on growth, fruiting, yield and fruit quality of mango cv. Succary Abiad. *Journal of American Science*, 7(2):651-658.




Figure 1: Fruit weight (g) as influenced by foliar spray of plant growth regulators in mango cv. Amrapali




Figure 2: Fruit yield (kg/plant) as influenced by foliar spray of plant growth regulators in mango cv. Amrapali




Figure 3: Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) as influenced by foliar spray of plant growth regulators in mango cv. Amrapali




Figure 4: Acidity (%) as influenced by foliar spray of plant growth regulators in mango cv. Amrapali

UNDER PEER



Plate 1: Field view of the experiment



Plate 2. T₇ at Harvest maturity stage



Plate 3. Measurement of fruit length by using a digital slide caliper

UNDER PREP



Plate 4. Fruit samples in T₁



Plate 5. Fruit samples in T₇



Plate 6. Fruit samples in T₁₀

UNDER PEER REVIEW