

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102520
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the Phenology of Saffron (<i>Crocus sativus Kashmirianus</i>) grown in Soilless media under Protected Environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The author should provide clear data on protected soil-less cultivation or protected soil-based cultivation in the materials method. Soil less cultivation means fertigation ???? or another combination used in this research. Not clear.</p> <p>Yes, suitable, but include the interpretation of objectives in this research. Use italics properly in the scientific name.</p> <p>The abstract is very good. But yield parameters need more attention.</p> <p>Need more attention in terms of cost of cultivation and B: C ratio. Because of this, the author indicates that soil less cultivation gives a better yield. So provide the yield characters with an interpretation of the B: C ratio for the farmer's acceptance.</p> <p>We need clear data for the cost of cultivation in soilless cultivation vs. soil cultivation.</p> <p>Yes, sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>My recommendation is to include the controlled weather parameters under the in-vitro condition of soilless cultivation. Interpreting the results of yield and cost of cultivation is a must for recommending soilless cultivation to farmers.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Ananthi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India