

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_101766
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMAL INVESTMENT STRATEGIES FOR DC-PENSION FUND UNDER COMBINED STOCHASTIC VOLATILITY MODELS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Without a doubt, the give manuscript has significant for human being in the case of maintaining the standard of living of the participants after retirement.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is well written.</p> <p>3. The abstract of this article is really magnificent.</p> <p>4. Subsection and structure are well organized.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is apparently correct.</p> <p>6. References are not well enough. Pease include some references to ornate this article beautiful: i. doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140038 ii. doi:10.1016/j.rinp.2015.01.007</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Grammatical error and some typos exist that should be checked and corrected throughout the paper.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	All the References should be same pattern in the reference section. Please make sure to correct it before final publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shafiqul Islam
Department, University & Country	Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology, Bangladesh