

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_101955
Title of the Manuscript:	Major depression in the antenatal period in the core Niger Delta area of Nigeria; a neglected area of practice.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✚ The title of the manuscript is SMART.</li> <li>✚ It may provide some update information for the scientific community</li> <li>✚ The abstract is not properly articulated, because it does not have introduction, study design and place and duration of study is part of methodology, but they separated it and the abstract id full of abbreviations which is not advisable.</li> <li>✚ Yes, they are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</li> <li>✚ Regarding the scientifically of the manuscript, there is not major issues.</li> <li>✚ No major issue with references, however, some references are not related with the topic (for example we can't compare depression among people living with HIV with that of general population), it will be better if local references added.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✚ No major concern but needs some topographic editions.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✚ Adding local references, removing unrelated references and topographical editions are needed.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alemayehu Assefa Amaya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assosa University, Ethiopia</b>