

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_102546
Title of the Manuscript:	Contributions of the Leukocyte Adherence Inhibition Test to the diagnosis of hypersensitivity reactions produced by nickel.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is an interesting article. I consider that it has the potential to be published due to the relevance. However, the authors should consider the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the introduction and discussions, I suggest shorter paragraphs separating them according to the information. - I suggest summarizing the background, there is valuable information in the last paragraph that can be moved to discussions - Due the authors make an interesting methodological proposal to evaluate hypersensitivity to nickel with the Leukocyte Adherence Inhibition Test (LAIT), against NiSO₄ (H₂O)₆ to evaluate the cellular response, an image should be included concerning the procedure or how these cells are seen in the Neubauer chamber to carry out the count. - The results should be described in a more extended way and describe what was observed in the figures. Figures must be referenced in the text - The authors only mention that the ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the authors. However, it is not clear if they complied with the provisions of the Helsinki Declaration and if the project was submitted and approved by the ethics and research committee of the hospital, this must be specified 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Review minor formatting details.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Machado-Sulbaran Andrea Carolina
Department, University & Country	University Center for Health Science, University of Guadalajara, Mexico