

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_102624
Title of the Manuscript:	Soft Tissue Reconstruction for Pre-Prosthetic Site Development - A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This case report gives an insight of management of the soft tissue deficiency in case of maxillary anterior esthetic zone to give a natural emergence profile for the prosthesis. This case report is well written and documented. I appreciate the efforts of the authors.</p> <p>The title of the article is apt and requires no further modifications.</p> <p>I would suggest few minor modifications in the abstract highlighting the treatment outcomes in the discussion section. Subsections of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, require more literature review in the discussion section.</p> <p>The references given are pretty old and lacks newer advances in the SCTG techniques used. More recent references required.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	No corrections in the language suggested	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pranjali Bawankar
Department, University & Country	VSPM Dental College & Research Centre, India