

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102657
Title of the Manuscript:	"Differential effect of different methods of applying Paclobutrazol on Calendula in Prayagraj climatic condition (Calendula officinalis L.)"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, manuscripts are rather important for the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate; Writing the scientific name <i>Calendula officinalis</i> L. should follow the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature: <i>Calendula officinalis</i> (italic)</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is incomplete. Add the importance of researching <i>Calendula officinalis</i> L. in research; add the research objective</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. In general, the manuscript has fulfilled scientific principles</p> <p>6. references are still lacking, some have years of publication that have been past, so it is necessary to replace and cite the latest references; In the last reference there is no year of publication of the referenced journal:</p> <p>Dheeraj, K.K. and Saravanan, S. Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Flower Yield of Calendula (<i>Calendula officinalis</i> L.) cv. Calypso, Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6(5): 130-134</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. the quality of the language/English of the article is quite suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Nurcahyani
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia