

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102548
Title of the Manuscript:	“Standardization of method and time of budding in Jamun (Syzygium cumini Skeels)”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript describes important findings of use of improved technology of micropropagation in Jamun (<i>Syzygium cumini</i> Skeels); while MS is not complete and lot of information is missing. Authors also need to have a glance on economics of budding in different treatments to make it more practical oriented.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract- Avoid writing all the treatment details and write only variables in abstract; Write results for important and significant parameter rather than writing of all parameters; Give percent increase and/or statistical significance of best treatment over control.</p> <p>4. Numbering to subsection in results and discussion is need to be given.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References- Strictly follow the reference writing style of the journal both citing reference in text and enlisting references.</p> <p>Introduction- Introduction is lack in review of literature on selected topic of methods of budding and timing. Author need to review the literature and provide insight what happen till data on selected topic; Avoid writing general information as research gap. Write clearly defined research gap and objective/objectives at the end of abstract;</p> <p>Material and methods- Information on weather during experiment and climatic condition of study area need to be included. Information on soil/potting mixture used in study was not given; Information on growing condition [shade nets, glass house, open field condition, etc.] is lacking, Information on management practices [irrigation, nutrition, weeding application of growth regulators, etc.] is not given.</p> <p>Results and discussion-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write result in statistical term/significance and not maximum and minimum value. • The discussion is related with prevailing weather condition and substrate used; hence information of both is needed and discussion on both of them is also needed. • Avoid repetition of discussion for weather and climate for all studied parameters. <p>Conclusion- Conclusion should be concise and should be based on the data presented in MS; Avoid writing general information and information for which no data is presented in MS.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit A. Shahane
Department, University & Country	Central Agricultural University, India