

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102086
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and Evaluation of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) genotypes/ varieties for drought tolerance : Growth & Yield Approaches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- This article is important for scientific research because it reinforces the data on some genotypes of rice for drought tolerance</p> <p>2- Firt Alternative title: Identification and evaluation of some rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) genotypes for drought tolerance : growth and yield approaches</p> <p>3- Yes, the author has tried to summarize the different parts of the article.</p> <p>4-yes</p> <p>5- probably yes</p> <p>6- most of the references cited are more than ten years old. I suggest that the author look for more recent articles.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The linguistic quality of the article is not good, there are several errors, try to correct it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. I see the repetition of some paragraph several times:</p> <p>2. I find some sentences not understandable, for example: Drought stress had influence on yield and yield contributing traits in rice.</p> <p>3. I don't agree to write & in place of and, this is not good.</p> <p>4. in the results and discussion section, I see that the author did not discuss the results in order to compare them with other researchers. he just wrote down the results obtained.</p> <p>5. the author must also detail the methods used in this study as well as the genotypes studied.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanâa Lanouari
Department, University & Country	University Hassan 1, Morocco